

# AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Journal of Physical Oceanography

# EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-16-0108.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

If you would like to cite this EOR in a separate work, please use the following full citation:

Romero, L., L. Lenain, and W. Mellville, 2016: Observations of Surface-Wave-Current Interaction. J. Phys. Oceanogr. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-16-0108.1, in press.

© 2016 American Meteorological Society

Click here to download Manuscript (non-LaTeX) wave\_current\_int\_rev\_3\_final.docx



Intoraction	
3	
4 Leonel Romero <sup>1</sup>	6
5 Earth Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara	,
6 Santa Barbara, California	
8 LUC Leffalli 9 Scripps Institution of Oceanography University of California Sa	n
Diego, La Jolla, California	11
11	
12 W. Kendall Melville	
13 Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, Sa	n
14 Diego, La Jolla, California	
optilin Minhard	

Santa Barbara, California. 93106-3060, USA E mail: <u>leromero@eri.ucsb.edu</u>

#### Abstract

17 Wave-current interactions can result in significant inhomogeneities of the ocean 18 surface wave field, including modulation of the spectrum, wave breaking rates, and 19 wave statistics. We present novel airborne observations from two experiments: 1) 20 the High-Resolution air-sea interaction (HiRes) experiment, with measurements 21 across an upwelling jet off the coast of Northern California, and 2) an experiment in 22 the Gulf of Mexico with measurements of waves interacting with the Loop Current 23 and associated eddies. The significant wave height and slope varies by up to 25%24 due to these interactions at both sites, whereas whitecap coverage varies by more 25 than an order of magnitude. Whitecap coverage is well correlated with spectral 26 moments; negatively correlated with the directional spreading and positively 27 correlated with the saturation, and saturation normalized by the directional 28 spreading. Surface wave statistics measured in the Gulf of Mexico, including wave 29 crest heights, and lengths of crests per unit surface area, show good agreement with 30 second-order nonlinear approximations, except over a focal area. Similarly, 31 distributions of wave heights are generally bounded by the generalized Boccotti 32 distribution, except at focal regions where the wave height distribution reaches the 33 Rayleigh distribution with a maximum wave height of 2.55 times the significant 34 wave height; much larger than the standard classification for extreme waves. 35 However, theoretical distributions of spatial statistics that account for second-order 36 nonlinearities serve as an upper bound for the observed statistics of extreme wave 37 elevations. The results are discussed in the context of improved models of breaking, 38 and related air-sea fluxes.

### 40 **1.** Introduction

41 Surface wave processes have important applications in air-sea interaction, coastal 42 circulation, ocean remote sensing, and offshore engineering. Surface waves are 43 important for air-sea interaction, modulating the exchange of energy, momentum, 44 heat, and mass between the ocean and the atmosphere. Wave breaking drives upper 45 ocean currents and mixing (Phillips 1977), affects aerosol production (Lenain & 46 Melville 2016), and enhances gas exchange across the air-sea interface (Thorpe 47 1982; Farmer et al. 1993), all of which have implications for climate change 48 predictions (Loewen 2002). 49 Wave-current interactions, that is, the effects of currents on waves, include 50 refraction due to wave propagation over spatially varying currents and wave action 51 conservation which can result in wave steepening for waves encountering an 52 opposing current and vice versa. Wave-current interactions modulate the ocean 53 roughness (Phillips 1984; Munk et al. 2000) and can enhance nonlinear effects such 54 as wave breaking, which affect satellite remote sensing products such as ocean color 55 (Gordon 1997; Moore et al. 2000), and radar imaging (Phillips 1984; Kudryavtsev et 56 al. 2005). Similarly, wave-current interactions can lead to the formation of extreme 57 wave heights (White and Fornberg 1998, Onorato et al. 2011, Toffoli et al. 2015, 58 Janssen and Herbers 2009). Wave breaking can be a good visual indicator of wave-59 current interactions. As shown by Melville et al. (2005), areas of enhanced wave 60 breaking due to wave-current interaction are often associated with sea surface 61 temperature (SST) fronts. An example of a feature studied in this paper is shown in

62 Figure 1 displaying a photograph of the sea surface with a "line" of enhanced 63 breaking due to wave-current interaction. Surface waves can also be modulated due 64 to changes in relative wind forcing and changes in stability of the atmospheric 65 boundary layer across mesoscale oceanic fronts with warmer water leading to 66 intensification of the surface winds (Friehe et al. 1991, Jury 1994), which in turn 67 modulates the surface wave field (e.g. Hwang 2005), resulting in increased wave 68 breaking (Chelton et al. 2006). Gallet and Young (2014) recently showed that wave 69 refraction induced by the vorticity of the Antarctic Circumpolar and Equatorial 70 surface currents can result in significant deviations of swell from great circle paths 71 across the Pacific Ocean. This roughly explains the outliers from the analysis by 72 Munk et al. (1963, 2013) who, by not accounting for refraction by currents, traced 73 swell measured off Southern California along great circle paths back to Antarctica, 74 rather than the South Pacific Ocean. 75 Several field studies have characterized the modulation of the wave field by tidal 76 (Vincent 1979, Masson 1996, Pearman et al. 2014) and large-scale currents 77 (Kudryavtsev et al. 1995, Wang 1994, Haus 2007). However, more measurements 78 are needed to improve numerical wave models and wave-breaking 79 parameterizations in conditions with strong wave-current interactions (e.g., Romero 80 and Melville 2010a, Banner and Morison 2010, Ardhuin et al. 2010, 2012). This 81 study presents novel wave observations from two experiments over areas with 82 significant wave-current interactions, including characterization of the modulation

83 of the directional spectrum, wave breaking and wave statistics. The paper is

84 organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the environmental conditions, field

85	experiments, and instrumentation; Section 3 describes the analysis and results,
86	which are discussed and summarized in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

#### 87 2. Field Experiments

88 This study presents field observations from two experiments: 1) the Office of Naval 89 Research (ONR) High-Resolution air-sea interaction DRI program (HiRes) and 2) an 90 experiment in the northern Gulf of Mexico (GoM). Both field campaigns collected 91 wave observations from aircraft allowing characterization of inhomogeneities of the 92 wave field over areas with strong surface currents and current gradients. The HiRes 93 data analyzed in this study were collected at the edge of an upwelling jet off the 94 coast of Northern California where significant gradients of wave breaking were 95 observed (Fig. 1). The experiment in the GoM gathered observations near the 96 northernmost edge of the Loop Current, including an area of opposing waves and 97 currents, and a focal region.

98 2.1. HiRes

99 The HiRes program was designed to study air-sea interaction processes with a focus 100 on wave-phase-resolved physical processes, such as air-flow over waves including 101 both realistic large-eddy simulations (Sullivan et al. 2014) and field observations 102 (Grare et al. 2013). Other field measurements included broadband spectral 103 distributions of breaking waves, from air-entraining to micro breakers (Sutherland 104 and Melville 2013), surface turbulence measurements (Sutherland and Melville 105 2015), and aerosols (Lenain and Melville, 2016). This paper presents airborne wave 106 observations over areas with significant wave-current interactions collected near

107 Bodega Bay on June 17, 2010, during upwelling conditions with 13 m/s winds from 108 the northwest. A composite map of SST from MODIS data is shown in Figure 2a with 109 the wind direction shown with a red arrow pointing towards the southeast. An 110 upwelling front can be observed at about 50 km from the coast. Supporting surface 111 current observations were available from an existing array consisting of 12 MHz 112 High Frequency (HF) radars located near Bodega Bay and Point Reyes (Kaplan et al. 113 2005; Kaplan and Largier 2006). A snapshot of the surface currents measured by the 114 coastal HF radars is shown in Figure 2b. The current map shows a strong upwelling 115 jet, reaching maximum currents of 1 m/s, with strong horizontal shear on the 116 eastern side where the area of enhanced breaking (Fig. 1) was observed, as shown 117 by a solid white line. This study focuses on the modulation of the wave field across 118 the edge of the coastal jet.

119

#### 2.11 HIRES INSTRUMENTATION

120 Several platforms operated during HiRes, including R/P Flip, the CIRPAS Twin Otter 121 (TO) aircraft, R/V Sproul, and Aspen Helicopters' Partenavia P68-C aircraft. This 122 study focuses on the measurements collected from the TO aircraft. The flight track is 123 shown with gray lines in Figure 2. The TO was equipped with two downward 124 looking lidars, the NASA/EGG scanning Airborne Topographic Mapper (ATM) and a 125 fixed RIEGL (model LD90–3800EHS- FLP) nadir-looking lidar. The TO was also 126 equipped with nadir visible and infrared (IR) imagers, a pressure sensor array in the 127 nose cone to measure atmospheric turbulence and fluxes, a Heitronic KT19 128 radiometer for local SST measurement, and an aerosol measurement package. An

129 inertial motion unit (IMU, Northrop Grumman LN100-G) with global positioning 130 system (GPS, Applanix POS AV 510) was used for data georeferencing. A set of two 131 nadir-looking IMPERX IPX-11M5-L (12bit, 4000x2672px) synchronized cameras, 132 each sampled at 5Hz, were used to compute the breaking statistics described in 133 section 3.12. Typical spatial resolution was approximately 10-15 cm depending on 134 flight altitude, leading to an image width in the cross-track direction ranging from 135 250 to 400 m, and 400 to 600 m along-track. Sun glitter was minimized with electro-136 mechanically controlled linear polarizers.

137 The ATM is a conical scanning lidar used previously to measure directional 138 wavenumber spectra of surface waves (Hwang et al. 2000a,b; Romero and Melville 139 2010b). During HiRes, the ATM had a pulse repetition rate of 5 kHz, a scanning rate 140 of 20 Hz, and a conical scanning angle of 30°. Thus for a nominal aircraft speed of 50 141 m/s at an altitude h= 300 m above mean sea level (AMSL), the theoretical horizontal 142 resolution is  $\delta x=2.5$  m along-track and  $\delta y=4.75$  m cross-track, with a swath width 143 SW of approximately 300 m (SW=2 h tan[30°]  $\approx$  h). The calibrated elevation error 144 per pulse is approximately 8 cm (Krabill and Martin 1987). During post-processing 145 the raw georeferenced ATM data were separated into forward and aft parts of the 146 scan, then spatially binned on a regular grid with a resolution of 2.5 m, and empty 147 cells were interpolated with MATLAB's TriScatteredInterp function. Thus, the 148 highest wavenumber resolved  $k_h$ =1.25 rad/m. The lidar pulse return rate was 149  $30\pm3\%$  for the forward scan and  $50\pm4\%$ , for the aft scan due to the aircraft's angle 150 of attack  $(3.6^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ})$ . The analysis of the data was done exclusively on the aft scan 151 with the higher pulse return rate.

#### 2.12 HIRES SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

153 Directional wavenumber spectra were calculated from the spatially interpolated 154 ATM data using the Fast Fourier Transform and the squared amplitude of the 155 Fourier coefficients. The directional wavenumber spectrum  $F(k_{x,k_y})$  is defined 156 according to

157 
$$\langle \eta^2 \rangle = \int_{-k_h}^{k_h} \int_{-k_h}^{k_h} F(k_x, k_y) \, dk_x dk_y,$$
 (1)

such that its integral over all wavenumbers corresponds to the variance of the seasurface elevation.

160 Prior to calculating each spectrum, the edges of the data were tapered with a 10% 161 Tukey window in two dimensions. Zero padding was applied in the cross-track 162 direction, doubling the width to 600 m. Spectra were calculated from swaths 2.5 km 163 long with 50% overlap, allowing the characterization of spatial inhomogeneities of 164 the wave field. In addition to correcting the spectrum for the variance loss due to 165 tapering, spectra were corrected for the Doppler shift induced by the relative 166 motion between waves and the aircraft (Plant et al. 2005). Neighboring 167 wavenumbers were averaged together in the along-track direction (x) yielding 168 spectra with resolution  $\Delta k_x = \Delta k_y = 2 \pi/600 = 0.01 \text{ rad/m}$ . Resulting spectra were 169 smoothed with a 3x3 top-hat filter, yielding 38 (2×300/600×2500/600×9) degrees 170 of freedom (DOF) per spectrum. Finally, spectral energy densities at angles larger 171 than ±90° from the wind direction were set to zero and the remaining spectral 172 components were multiplied by two, preserving the variance with the

oceanographic convention of energy propagating towards a given angle within thespectrum.

#### 175 2.2 Experiment in the Gulf of Mexico (GoM)

176 The experiment in the GoM was designed to collect airborne observations of surface 177 waves interacting with the Loop Current and related eddies in October 2011. It was 178 conducted in the northern part of the Gulf when the Loop Current boundary was 179 located very far north, overlapping in time when cold fronts are common in the 180 GoM. These cold fronts generally propagate from Texas into the Northern Gulf 181 during the fall and winter months (Henry 1979) giving rise to southward 182 (northerly) winds followed by southwestward (northeasterly) winds as the fronts 183 pass through. This allowed the possibility of investigating locally generated waves 184 interacting with the Loop Current and eddies. This study focuses on the 185 measurements collected on Oct. 30<sup>th</sup>, 2011, a day after the passage of a cold front, in 186 winds of 8 m/s. Figure 3a shows SST analysis from the HYbrid Coordinate Ocean 187 Model (HYCOM) at 1/25° horizontal resolution in the northern Gulf of Mexico over 188 the edge of the Loop Current. The corresponding surface currents are shown in 189 Figure 3b. The red arrow indicates the mean wind direction towards the southwest, 190 and the dashed box shows the study area.

191

#### 2.21 GoM instrumentation

192 The research platform was the Partenavia P68-C aircraft operated by Aspen

193 Helicopters. Figure 3 shows the flight track with a thick black line going across the

194 edge of the Loop Current. The aircraft was equipped with the Modular Aerial

composed of a downward looking raster scanning lidar (Riegl LMS-Q680i), a longwave infrared camera (QWIP FLIR SC6000), high-resolution video (JaiPulnix AB800CL), and a hyperspectral imaging system (Specim EagleAISA). All instruments
are time synchronized and georeferenced with a high-accuracy coupled GPS/IMU
(Novatel SPAN-LN200). The raster lidar provides higher spatial resolution than the
ATM and other airborne lidars used previously to measure ocean waves (c.f. Hwang
et al. 2000a, Romero and Melville 2010b, Reineman et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2012).

Sensing System (MASS, Reineman et al. 2009, Melville et al. 2016, Clark et al. 2014)

- 203 The raster scanning lidar has a field of view (FOV) of 60°, therefore the swath width
- 204 on the ocean surface is approximately equal to the flight altitude *h*. The lidar
- 205 operated mainly in two modes, each setting designed to capture different scales.
- 206 Mode 1: h= 200 m, sampling frequency  $f_s$ =266 kHz, scanning frequency  $f_{sc}$ = 200 Hz,
- 207 and angular scan increment  $\Delta \theta$ =0.045°, where  $\Delta \theta$ =FOV/M with M= $f_s/f_c$
- 208 corresponding to the number of pulses per scan.

195

209 Mode 2: h= 550 m,  $f_s$ = 60 kHz,  $f_{sc}$ = 70 Hz, and  $\Delta \theta$ =0.07°.

210 The nominal aircraft speed *V*<sup>*a*</sup> was 50 m/s, thus the along-track resolution for Mode

1 is 25 cm ( $V_a/f_{sc}$ ) and 71 cm for Mode 2. The cross-track resolution at nadir is 16 cm

- and 70 cm, which increases to 20 cm and 84 cm at the edge of the swath for Modes 1
- and 2, respectively (Reineman et al. 2009). Following the method used to post-
- 214 process the ATM data, lidar data were binned and interpolated on a regular grid
- with horizontal resolution of 0.5 ( $k_h$  = 6.28 rad/m) and 1.5 m ( $k_h$  = 2.1 rad/m) for
- 216 Modes 1 and 2, respectively, before computing smoothed directional wavenumber

spectra from 5 km-long swaths with 50% overlap for 75 degrees of freedom, and a

spectral resolution identical to that of the ATM ( $dk_y = dk_x = 2\pi/600 \text{ m} = 0.01 \text{ rad/m}$ ).

#### 219 2.22 GoM spectral analysis

A sample directional wavenumber spectrum from lidar measurements collected at
550 m AMSL in the GoM at the edge of the Loop Current is shown in Figure 4. The

222 peak wavenumber  $k_p = 0.08$  rad/m. The spectrum  $F(k, \theta')$  is rotated such that  $\theta' = \theta$ -

223  $\theta_w = 0^\circ$  corresponds to the wind direction, with the wind blowing towards  $\theta_w$ . The

224 corresponding omnidirectional spectrum 
$$\phi(k) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} F(k, \theta') k d\theta'$$
 is shown in

Figure 5a and is compared with a spatially overlapped spectrum measured at a

lower altitude (h= 200 m). Both spectra agree for k < 1 rad/m, approximately

following a  $k^{-2.5}$  power law. At larger wavenumbers the spectral tail can be better

approximated by a  $k^{-3}$  power-law. The corresponding saturation spectra  $B(k) = \phi k^{-3}$ 

are shown in Figure 5b. The directional spreading  $\sigma(k)$  is defined as the root-mean-

230 square directional width from the mean spectral direction  $\bar{\theta}$  according to

231 
$$\bar{\theta}(k) = \frac{\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} F(k,\theta')\theta'd\theta'}{\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} F(k,\theta')d\theta'}$$

232 and

233 
$$\sigma(k) = \left(\frac{\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} F(k,\theta')[\theta'-\overline{\theta}(k)]^2 d\theta'}{\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} F(k,\theta') d\theta'}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (2)

The spreading is narrowest near the spectral peak (~ 15°) increasing towards both
low and high wavenumbers, approaching 55° at wavenumbers much larger than the
peak (Fig. 5c). Both directional spreading curves are in good agreement except near

and below the spectral peak, where the spectrum calculated from a wider swath is narrower (blue curve), and more accurate as it is better able to resolve the directionality of the lower wavenumbers. The normalized saturation defined by  $\tilde{B}(k) = B(k)/\sigma(k)$  is an important parameter for the characterization of wave breaking (Banner et al. 2002; Romero et al. 2012) and is shown in Figure 5d.<sup>2</sup> When compared to the saturation spectrum, the normalized saturation exhibits a weaker increasing trend with increasing wavenumber.

#### 244 **3. Results**

245 *3.1. HiRes* 

246 During the HiRes program, on June 17<sup>th</sup> 2010, field observations were collected off 247 Bodega Bay during upwelling conditions with steady 13 m/s winds to the southeast 248 and waves near full development with significant wave height of 3 m. Measurements 249 were collected over areas with strong wave-current interaction detected visually 250 from aircraft by enhanced breaking and remote sensing of SST gradients near the 251 edge of an upwelling jet. Figure 1 is a handheld photograph looking north, showing 252 an area of enhanced breaking located at the edge of the jet (see also Fig. 2b). Also 253 apparent are significant differences in the whitecap coverage on the left (west) side 254 of the photo when compared to the right (east). A snapshot of SST measured by the 255 IR imager on the Twin Otter is shown in Figure 6a. The data were collected over the 256 area of enhanced wave breaking and it shows sharp SST gradients of about 0.4 257 degrees over O(10) m, corresponding to a submesoscale front partially aligned with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note that in Figure 5c, the directional spreading,  $\sigma$ , is given in degrees for convenience, whereas in the normalized saturation,  $B/\sigma$  it is given in radians.

258 the area of enhanced breaking. The dashed box on the bottom corresponds to the 259 zoomed-in area of Figure 6b, which shows frontal instabilities with horizontal scales 260 of the order of 100 m, comparable to the wavelength of the dominant waves. The 261 corresponding georeferenced visible imagery from the downward looking camera is 262 shown in Figure 6c, with the yellow dashed line showing the approximate location 263 of the submesoscale front. The whitecap coverage is substantially different on each 264 side of the front, with little or no breaking to the left (west). The photos in Figures 1 265 and Figure 6c were taken from different aircraft. The area of enhanced breaking 266 from Figure 1 is not evident in Figure 6c, which only shows increased wave breaking 267 on the lower right corner. This is likely due to differences in dynamic range, lighting 268 and field of view between the downward looking and handheld cameras. The 269 handheld photo taken at a grazing angle covers a much larger surface area and 270 therefore it is easier to identify the coherent line of breaking. The position of the 271 "line" of enhanced breaking was determined visually from the aircraft (white line in 272 Figures 2a,b) within  $\pm 1$  km as it moved steadily towards the west. The line of 273 breaking was located over an area with strong current gradients, with current 274 speeds of 0.8 m/s to the west and decreasing down to about 0.4 m/s to the east (Fig. 275 2b).

A spatial cross section of the submesoscale front as measured by the Twin Otter aircraft is shown in Figure 7a, where x=0 is located within the core of the upwelling jet. The temperature drops by about 0.4 degrees between x=7 and x=8 km. The vertical vorticity calculated from HF current data in Figure 7b, shows a maximum value at the edge of the temperature front. The whitecap coverage as measured from 281 the airborne visible imagery (Fig. 7c) shows little breaking for 1 km < x < 7 km282 followed by increased breaking for x > 7 km, especially at the edge of the front. A 283 corresponding atmospheric response can be observed in the mean winds as 284 measured from the aircraft at 30 m AMSL (Fig. 7d). The mean wind speed decreases 285 over the segment with increased whitecap coverage to the right of the front (7 km < 286 x < 10 km), illustrating the coupling between the atmosphere, ocean waves and 287 upper ocean currents at horizontal scales of the order of 1 km. 3.11 WAVE FIELD MODULATION 288

289 In contrast to single point measurements, airborne lidar measurements allow 290 analysis of the spatial inhomogeneities of the wave field due to wave-current 291 interactions. Here, data collected along the flight track is analyzed using an objective 292 analysis which maps randomly spaced data on a specified set of locations using 293 weighted averages that depend on the spatial covariance of the data (Bretherton et 294 al, 1976; Davis 1985). Following Denman and Freeland (1985), the structure 295 function G(r) of the significant wave height  $H_s=4 \langle \eta^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  was calculated from data 296 pairs and fitted to the model  $G(r) = 2V[\varepsilon + 1 - H(r)]$ , where r is the distance between 297 pairs of measurements, V is the variance,  $\varepsilon$  is the fraction of noise variance, and  $H(r) = \exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2t^2}\right)$  is the assumed Gaussian autocorrelation function. The fitted noise 298 299 variance  $\varepsilon = 0.1$  and decorrelation length L = 2.8 km, which is comparable to the 300 spatial resolution of the data (2.5 km) or two adjacent data points with 50% overlap 301 (2 x 1.25 km). Both parameters were used to calculate the objective map of  $H_s$  shown in Figure 7e. The significant wave height,  $H_s$ , is on average 2.8 m, varying within 302

303 25% over the area covered by the aircraft. It is generally lower over the southeast 304 corner of the figure, particularly to the right (east) of the jet and increases by about 305 8% towards the jet core, from right to left (east to west). The peak wavelength is 306 less affected with a mean value of 112  $\pm$  14 m, corresponding to 0.056  $\pm$  0.007 307 rad/m. This suggests that the modulation of the wave field across the coastal jet by 308 the currents is mostly confined to wavenumbers larger than the spectral peak. 309 The airborne visible imagery collected from the downward looking camera allowed 310 the quantification of the spectral statistics of breaking fronts, specifically  $\Lambda(c_b)dc_b$ , 311 defined as the average length of breaking crests with speed in the range  $c_b$  to  $c_b + dc_b$ 312 per unit surface area (Phillips 1985). Following Kleiss and Melville (2010, 2011), 313  $\Lambda(c_b)$  was calculated from visible imagery (ImperX IPX-11M5-L dual camera 314 system) collected on both sides of the submesoscale front (or "line" of breaking), 315 which is shown in Figure 8 with the red and blue lines corresponding to the warm 316 (west) and cold (east) side of the front, with sampling locations shown with red and 317 blue lines in Figure 7e. Each collected digital image was first georeferenced using 318 the information from the onboard GPS/IMU, then interpolated to a regular grid with 319 a 10 cm spatial resolution. A detailed description of the breaking statistics 320 processing steps used in the present study is provided in Kleiss and Melville 2011. 321 The black dashed line is a reference power-law of  $c_{b}$ -6 according to Phillips' (1985) 322 equilibrium model. The  $\Lambda(c_b)$  distributions exhibit substantial variability, with 323 larger values on the cold side of the front for  $0.4 < c_b < 2$  m/s and 4 m/s  $< c_b < 10$ 324 m/s. Note that for  $c_b < 2-3$  m/s, the lack of air entrainment in the sampled breakers 325 leads to a roll off of the distribution from the  $c_b$  as the visible imagery cannot

326 accurately capture the breaking fronts (Romero et al. 2012). This is consistent with 327 Sutherland and Melville (2013) who, by using a combination of infrared and visible 328 cameras, showed that the  $c_b$ -6 behavior extended to much lower values of  $c_b$  (0.1-0.8 329 m/s). The inset shows  $\Lambda(c_b)$  compensated by  $c_b^6$ , varying by up to a factor of 5 330 between the warm and cold areas. The speed of the breaking front, *c*<sub>b</sub>, is linearly 331 related to the wave phase speed *c* through a proportionality factor  $\alpha$  such that  $c_b = \alpha$ 332 *c*, with  $\alpha$  varying within 0.7 and 0.9 (Rapp and Melville 1990; Stansell and 333 MacFarlane 2002; Banner and Peirson 2007; see also Banner et al. 2014 and Pizzo & 334 Melville 2015). From the linear dispersion relationship assuming  $\alpha$ =0.8 (Rapp and Melville 1990), the range of breaking speeds  $4 < c_b < 10$  m/s, where the  $\Lambda(c_b)$ 335 336 distributions vary the most, corresponds to wavenumbers in the range of 0.06 to 0.4 337 rad/m (±25%). 338 We further examined the spatial modulation of the directional wavenumber 339 spectrum focusing on the measurements around the area of enhanced wave 340 breaking. Objective maps of mean saturation, directional spreading and normalized 341 saturation were calculated using the noise variance and decorrelation length 342 obtained from the structure function of the significant wave height, as shown in 343 Figures 9a,b, and c, respectively. The spectral moments were averaged for  $k_p \le k \le k$ 344 0.4 rad/m, which is consistent with the range of wavenumbers where  $\Lambda(c_b)$  varied

345 the most across the front. All three parameters show substantial variability across

346 the "line" of breaking when compared to  $H_s$  (Fig. 7e). The mean saturation increases,

347 the spreading decreases, and the normalized saturation increases from left to right

348 (west to east) across the "line" of breaking.

349 The spatial inhomogeneities of the wave field across the front are further analyzed 350 along the sampling tracks with the available overlapping whitecap coverage, W, and 351 directional wavenumber spectra. Figure 10a shows a spatial scatter plot color coded 352 by W. There is substantial variability in W across the front, with very low values just 353 to the left (west) of the front, and relatively larger values to the right (east). The 354 area identified as the "line" of enhanced breaking, partially overlapping with the 355 submesoscale front, is apparent with large values of whitecap coverage. The 356 corresponding mean saturation and normalized saturation averaged in the range  $k_p$ 357 < k < 0.4 rad/m are shown in Figures 10b,c, respectively. There is good spatial 358 correspondence between W and  $\langle B \rangle$ , and the mean normalized saturation  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$ , with 359 low values to the left (west) of the front, and relatively larger values to the right 360 (east). Correlation coefficients *R* between mean spectral moments and *W* are 361 significant with R = 0.64, -0.59, and 0.80 for the saturation, directional spreading, 362 and normalized saturation, respectively, with the normalized saturation giving the 363 best correlation. However, the correlation difference between W and saturation  $\langle B \rangle$ , 364 and the normalized saturation  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  is not statistically significant with a p-value of 0.1 365 for a two-sided test. Extending the wave number range to  $k_v < k < 1.0$  rad/m to 366 compute the average moments, the resulting correlation coefficients are slightly 367 reduced to *R*= 0.46, -0.43, and 0.67 for the saturation, directional spreading, and 368 normalized saturation, respectively.

369 3.12 **R**AY TRACING

370 A ray tracing analysis was carried out following Mathiesen (1987), using the 371 approximation that the local curvature of a ray is given by the vorticity field  $\zeta = v_x - u_y$ 372 divided by the group velocity  $c_q$  (Kenyon 1971; Dysthe 2001). The ray equations 373 were integrated using the HF radar currents, and the measured mean peak 374 wavenumber. The resulting rays are shown in black in Figure 11, plotted over the 375 vorticity field normalized by the Coriolis parameter, f. The rays are parallel or 376 divergent immediately to the west of the front over the sampling area. On the right 377 side of the front (east) the rays are generally convergent over the sampling area, 378 except over the southernmost part. This is consistent with the right-left (east-west) 379 asymmetry of the whitecap coverage (Fig. 1, Fig 7c). It is also consistent with the 380 wider spectrum to the left (west) and relatively narrower spectrum to the right 381 (east) of the front (Fig. 9b). There is also ray convergence farther to the left of the 382 "line" of breaking (west), where  $H_s$  and the saturation are also large: Fig. 7e and 9a, 383 respectively. The spatial overlap between the line of enhanced breaking and the 384 area of maximum current gradient (vorticity) suggests that enhanced breaking was 385 likely a result of opposing waves and currents due to waves leaving the jet 386 encountering an "opposing" current in a frame of reference relative to the jet. 387 Repeating the ray tracing computations for wavenumbers within the range of 388 increased values of  $\Lambda(c_b)$ , for example 4  $k_p$ , results in qualitatively similar ray 389 patterns but with enhanced ray curvature (not shown). 390 *3.2. Experiment in the Gulf of Mexico* 391 In the fall of 2011 the Loop Current extended very far north in the GoM, within

392 range of the Partenavia aircraft based at Gulf Shores, Alabama. This, combined with

393 the high probability of offshore winds due to frequent atmospheric cold fronts 394 during that time of the year, provided an opportunity to collect airborne 395 measurements of waves interacting with the Loop Current (LC) and related eddies. 396 On October 30<sup>th</sup>, the Partenavia aircraft collected measurements near and across the 397 edge of the Loop Current after the passage of an atmospheric cold front. 398 As described above, the structure function was calculated using data pairs of 399 significant wave height and used to fit a decorrelation length and fractional noise 400 variance assuming a Gaussian decorrelation function, yielding L=9 km and  $\varepsilon = 0.07$ . 401 These parameters were used to generate objective maps of *H*<sub>s</sub> and other variables. 402 Figure 12a shows an objective map of  $H_s$  and dominant wave direction  $\theta_p$  (black 403 arrows). The gray arrows show the surface currents from HYCOM analysis. The edge 404 of the LC is on the lower right corner of the figure. There is substantial variability of 405 the dominant waves with  $H_s$  varying by as much as 25% (between 1.35 and 1.75 m) 406 and  $\theta_p$  varying by about 45°. The dominant waves propagate to the west at the top of 407 the figure and towards the southwest near the LC edge. There are three maxima in *H*<sub>s</sub>, one over the area of opposing waves and currents, and the second to the north 408 409 over a region of current convergence with collinear waves and currents, and the 410 third in the NW corner. The objective map of significant wave slope  $\eta_{rms} k_p$ , defined 411 as the product of peak wavenumber times the root-mean-square surface elevation 412  $n_{rms} = \langle \eta^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  shown in Figure 12b, has a distribution similar to  $H_s$  but with values 413 consistently larger over the area of opposing waves and currents in the LC. The 414 whitecap coverage, W, in Figure 12c only shows two maxima, one maximum in the 415 LC, and the second on the NE corner adjacent to an area of large  $H_s$ .

416 Objective maps of mean saturation, spreading, and normalized saturation are shown 417 in Figures 12d,e, and f, respectively. All three parameters were averaged for  $k_p < k < k_p$ 418 1 rad/m, with the upper limit just before the noise floor of the spectra measured at 419 the higher altitude (see Figure 5). The saturation is large over the area of opposing 420 waves and currents, consistent with the significant slope, but exhibits another 421 maximum on the northern-most part of the mapping area. The directional spreading 422 varies by up to 8 degrees, showing an east-west asymmetry. The variability of the 423 mean normalized saturation is qualitatively similar to the mean saturation but 424 shows better correspondence with W. The correlations between W and  $\langle B \rangle$ ,  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ , and 425  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  along at the sampling locations along the flight track give R = 0.45, -0.34 and, 0.54, respectively, with  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  giving the best correlation, consistent with the HiRes 426 427 observations, but again the correlation difference between  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  and  $\langle B \rangle$  against W is 428 not statistically significant.

429 3.21 WAVE STATISTICS

430 Here we first investigate the modulation of statistical distributions of wave heights,

431 crests, troughs, and crest-length due to wave-current interactions. The results are

432 compared with analytical models including linear and nonlinear approximations.

433 For this analysis the wave data were divided into three subsets: S1, S2, and S3,

434 which are shown in Figure 12b delineated with dashed black lines. The groups

435 where chosen based on similarities of significant slope with nearby wave

436 observations. S1, S2, and S3 contain 25, 55, and 26 data swaths, with mean

437 significant slope  $\eta_{rms} k_p = 0.032 \pm 0.003$ ,  $0.028 \pm 0.003$ , and  $0.036 \pm 0.005$ , respectively.

438 S3 has the largest average wave slope and S2 the lowest, consistent with Figure 12b.

439 Individual crest and trough heights were calculated from each data swath along 440 parallel lines in the direction of the dominant waves. Crests and troughs heights 441 were calculated from the maximum and minimum elevation, respectively, between 442 successive upward zero-crossings. Individual wave heights were determined from 443 the difference between crest and trough heights. Probability density functions 444 (pdf's) of crests  $\eta_c$  heights, magnitude of trough heights  $|\eta_t|$ , and wave heights H 445 normalized by the root-mean-square of surface elevation  $\eta_{rms}$  where calculated for 446 each data swath. Then, pdf's from multiple swaths were ensemble averaged within 447 each data group (S1-S3). The exceedance probability of  $\eta_c/\eta_{rms}$  and  $|\eta_t|/\eta_{rms}$  are 448 shown in Figures 13a,b, respectively. The subsets S1, S2, and S3 are shown with red, 449 green, and blue lines, respectively, with horizontal bars corresponding to the uncertainty due to standard error of  $\eta_{rms}$ , defined as 2 std/ $\sqrt{N}$ , where std is the 450 451 standard deviation and *N* is the number of samples within each data subset. 452 The wave crest distributions are bounded by the nonlinear Tayfun distribution with 453 parametric dependence on the significant slope (Tayfun 1980; Toffoli et al. 2008), 454 except for S1, where significant deviations from second-order theory can be 455 observed. The wave trough distributions are generally lower than the Rayleigh 456 distribution, in good agreement with Tayfun's distribution. The exceedance 457 probability of wave heights, H, normalized by the significant wave height,  $H_s = 4 \eta_{rms}$ , 458 is shown in Figure 13c and compared to the generalized Boccotti (GB) distribution, 459 which includes effects due to finite spectral bandwidth and third-order nonlinear 460 corrections (Alkhalidi and Tayfun, 2013). The GB distribution was calculated using the 461 spatial two-dimensional autocorrelation function (Romero and Melville 2011) and the

fourth-order cumulants of the sea surface elevation. It generally bounds the lidar
measurements, except for S1 approaching the Rayleigh distribution with the
maximum wave height approaching 2.55 *H<sub>s</sub>*. This is much larger than the typical

threshold for an extreme wave of 2  $H_s$ .

465

466 Following the work by Romero and Melville (2011) the statistics of crest lengths 467 were analyzed by defining  $L\eta_o$  as the length of crests per unit surface area exceeding 468 elevation threshold  $\eta_0$ . For this analysis the three-dimensional lidar data were 469 thresholded at several values of positive surface displacement and then binarized. 470 The binary images were then used to determine the length and orientation of each 471 thresholded crest by fitting an ellipse. This allows us to calculate  $L\eta_{q}$  and compare it 472 against analytical distributions, including both linear and second-order nonlinear 473 approximations, derived by Romero and Melville (2011) based on the statistical 474 analysis of a random moving surface by Longuet-Higgins (1957). Figure 13d shows 475 ensemble averages of  $L\eta_o$  plotted against  $(\eta_o/\eta_{rms})^2$ . The measured distributions are 476 well approximated by the nonlinear distribution by Romero and Melville (2011) 477 shown with triangles, except for S1 which shows significant deviations from the 478 second-order nonlinear distribution for large wave elevations.

The analytical distributions shown in Figures 13a-c are based on wave models at a

480 single point. However extreme wave statistics at single point differ substantially

481 from spatial and spatio-temporal statistics, with the latter giving the largest

482 expected waves as the total number of waves increases (Dysthe et al. 2008; Fedele

483 2012). Recent studies have shown that the theoretical models of space-time

484 statistics of extreme waves that account for second-order nonlinearities are

485 consistent with spatio-temporal measurements collected in the Mediterranean Sea 486 (Fedele et al. 2013; Benetazzo et al. 2015). The modeling study by Barbariol et al. 487 (2015) suggests that space-time distributions of extreme wave heights normalized 488 by  $\eta_{rms}$  increase only slightly by a few percent over areas of opposing currents due 489 to modulation of the spectrum by currents. Here we compare our measurement of 490 the extreme wave elevations against theoretical distributions of spatial extremes. 491 Following Fedele et al. 2013 and Benetazzo et al. 2015, the exceedance probability 492 of wave extremes for directional spectrum of random linear waves over a given area

493 can be approximated by

494 
$$P(\eta/\eta_{rms} > \tilde{\eta}) \approx 1 - (1 - \tilde{\eta} \exp[-x \tilde{\eta}/2])^{N_s}, \qquad (3)$$

495 where  $\eta_{max}$  is defined as the maximum surface elevation max[ $\eta(x)$ ] within a spatial 496 ensemble with  $N_s$  number of waves<sup>3</sup>, which is proportional to the sampling area 497 divided by the product of the mean wavelength times the mean crest-length (see 498 appendix). Accounting for second-order bound harmonics the nonlinear surface 499 elevation

500 
$$\hat{\eta} = \tilde{\eta} + \frac{\mu \, \tilde{\eta}^2}{2} \to \tilde{\eta} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\mu \hat{\eta}}}{\mu},$$
 (4)

501 where  $\mu$  is a measure of the wave steepness accounting for a correction due to 502 spectral bandwidth (also defined in the appendix). The probability of extreme 503 surface elevations for nonlinear waves can be directly obtained from equations (3) 504 and (4). The total number of waves  $N_s$  estimated for each data subset S1-S3 are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Equation (3) does not include an additional term due to the number of the waves along the perimeter of the sampling area because it is negligible for relatively large areas.

505 2.9x10<sup>4</sup>, 5.9x10<sup>4</sup>, and 3.0x10<sup>4</sup>, with mean steepness μ=0.045±0.002, 0.044±0.007,
506 and 0.047±0.006, respectively.

507 The exceedance probabilities of extreme surface elevations were calculated 508 combining all data within each subset (S1-S3) defining  $\eta_{max}$  as max[ $\eta(x)$ ] over each 5 509 km long record. The measured distributions are shown in Figure 14 with red, green, 510 and blue symbols corresponding to S1-S3, respectively. The corresponding linear 511 and nonlinear theoretical distributions calculated from the average moments of the 512 directional spectrum are shown with dashed and solid lines, respectively. The data 513 generally exceed the linear model but are bounded by the nonlinear distributions, 514 even in S1 (within error bars), where the largest waves are found. This suggests that 515 theoretical distributions of 2<sup>nd</sup> order nonlinear space-time statistics of extreme 516 waves are suitable for engineering applications even in conditions with strong 517 wave-current interactions. However, the analytical model cannot explain the 518 relative differences in observed extreme wave heights between the different data 519 subsets (S1-S3).

520 3.22 **R**AY TRACING

A ray tracing analysis was carried out using the observed mean peak wavenumber and direction computed over the sampled area and HYCOM surface current data. Figure 15 shows the resulting rays plotted over the vorticity field normalized by the Coriolis parameter. The white dots show the location of the wave measurements from the aircraft and the gray lines show the delineation between the different subsets (S1-S3). The rays show significant divergence over S2 where observed wave height was low (Fig 12a). In contrast the rays converge over S1 where *H*<sup>s</sup> is largest.

Also, the focal area corresponds to the data subset where the normalized maximum wave heights (*H*/*H*<sub>s</sub>), and extreme elevations ( $\eta_{max}/\eta_{rms}$ ) are largest.

#### 530 **4. Discussion**

531 The data from both the HiRes experiment off the coast of Northern California and 532 the experiment in the Gulf of Mexico showed substantial inhomogeneities of the 533 wave field due to wave-current interactions. In the context of wave breaking, wave-534 current interactions can have important implications for mixing and gas exchange 535 between the ocean and the atmosphere. For example, the HiRes measurements 536 showed enhanced wave breaking on the colder side of the submesoscale front. In 537 the context of frontal dynamics, secondary circulation results in surface 538 convergence at fronts (McWilliams 2016). This suggests that gas exchange may be 539 enhanced not just due to enhanced wave breaking alone but also due to secondary 540 ageostrophic circulation efficiently entraining bubbles down into the water column. 541 Moreover, secondary circulation at fronts depends on vertical mixing (McWilliams 542 et al. 2015), which can in turn be modulated by wave-current interactions 543 asymmetrically across fronts. 544 Other possible important feedbacks include spatial gradients of the surface 545 momentum flux due to modulation of wave breaking by wave-current interactions, 546 and vortex forces due to shear-induced refraction (McWilliams et al. 2004; Sheres 547 and Kenyon 2006) and related Langmuir circulation. The frontal instabilities shown 548 in Figure 6a,b have scales comparable to the dominant wavelength of the surface

waves, further suggesting the possibility that the separation of frontal and surface-wave scales may not generally apply.

As the various remote-sensing applications continue to evolve towards finer spatial resolutions, for example ocean color and altimeters, detailed knowledge of the surface wave field and its inhomogeneities due to wave-current interactions will become increasingly important. For both active and passive remote sensing, the fine-scale structure of the ocean surface is of fundamental importance, and the modulation of this structure will be affected by the wave-current interaction processes described here.

558 On the incidence of extreme waves, we found that the measurements were generally

559 bounded by theoretical nonlinear distributions of spatial wave extremes. However,

the theoretical model fails to describe the trend between focal and non-focal areas

based on modulation of the measured spectrum. Our measurements without

temporal information may under-sample extreme wave heights. However, higher

order nonlinearities cannot be ruled out for the observed extreme waves within the

focal area (e.g Janssen and Herbers 2009; Onorato et al. 2011; Toffoli et al. 2011,

565 2015).

Regarding the characterization of wave breaking with respect to the modulation of
the spectrum, the data consistently gave larger correlation coefficients between the
whitecapping coverage against the normalized saturation. Following a suggestion

570 metric introduced by Ardhuin et al. 2010<sup>4</sup>, which is given by

571 
$$\hat{B}(k,\theta) = \int_{\theta-\Delta_{\theta}}^{\theta+\Delta_{\theta}} F(k,\varphi) k^3 \cos^2(\theta-\varphi) k \, d\varphi,$$
(5)

572 where  $\Delta_{\theta}=80^{\circ}$ . It was found that mean anisotropic saturation  $\langle \hat{B}(\bar{\theta}_B) \rangle$  along the 573 mean saturation direction  $\bar{\theta}_B$  with

574 
$$\bar{\theta}_B = \frac{\int \int F(\mathbf{k})\theta k^3 d\mathbf{k}}{\int \int F(\mathbf{k})k^3 d\mathbf{k}}$$

575 correlated the best with the whitecapping coverage. The correlation coefficients 576 obtained are 0.71 and 0.56 for the HiRes and GoM datasets, which are similar to 577 those obtained with the normalized saturation (i.e., 0.80 and 0.54, respectively). But 578 again, the correlation differences are not statistically significant compared to those 579 obtained using the mean saturation  $\langle B \rangle$ .

#### 580 **5.** Conclusions

581 We have presented a characterization of inhomogeneities of the ocean surface wave

582 field over areas with strong wave-current interactions. This was accomplished with

novel airborne observations collected during HiRes near Bodega Bay and an

experiment in the Gulf of Mexico. Both data sets showed modulation of the wave

height due to wave-current interactions by 25%. The analysis from HiRes

observations focused on measurements collected on the edge of an upwelling jet,

- 587 where strong gradients of wave breaking were found. An area of enhanced breaking
- 588 was identified at the edge of the jet, overlapping with a submesoscale front. The area

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Corrected without the factor of  $c_g (2\pi)^{-1}$ .

589 of enhanced wave breaking separated two breaking regimes, with little breaking to 590 the west and relatively more breaking to the east over the colder SST. 591 Measurements across the submesoscale front showed maximum vertical vorticity at 592 the edge of the front, and a reduction of the mean winds at 30 m AMSL over the 593 areas with larger whitecapping coverage, which is consistent with an increase of the 594 drag coefficient due to increased wave breaking. Analysis of the wavenumber 595 spectra across the jet showed that the mean saturation B, directional spreading  $\sigma_{\rm c}$ 596 and normalized saturation  $\tilde{B}$  varied substantially across the jet, correlating well 597 with the whitecap coverage. 598 The measurements in the Gulf of Mexico were collected over the edge of the Loop 599 Current and associated eddies after the passage of a cold front. The wave field 600 showed substantial modulation due to currents, including conditions of opposing 601 waves and currents and a focal area. The measured whitecap coverage correlated 602 well with the spectral moments for wavenumbers larger than the spectral peak. 603 Statistical analysis of wave crests and length of crests per unit area showed 604 agreement with analytical distributions from second-order nonlinear 605 approximations, except over the focal area where significant deviations from 606 second-order nonlinear theory were found. Similarly, measured wave height 607 distributions were generally bounded by the generalized Boccotti distribution 608 except over the focal area where the wave height distribution reached the Rayleigh 609 distribution, with  $H_{max} = 2.55 H_s$ , which is much larger than  $2H_s$ , the typical threshold criterion used to define extreme waves. However, the measured statistics of 610

611 extreme wave elevations were bounded by analytical second-order nonlinear

- 612 distributions of spatial extremes.
- 613 Finally, it is important to appreciate that surface wave measurements having the
- 614 accuracy and spatio-temporal coverage displayed here would not have been
- 615 possible without the advantages of airborne measurements; firstly, to find regions
- of strong wave-current interaction, and secondly, to be able to measure the wave
- 617 fields over large areas with the accuracy described here.
- 618

#### APPENDIX A

**Wave Parameters** 

- 619
- Following Fedele et al. (2012, 2013), the number of waves over an area  $L_x L_y$  is given
- 621 by  $N_s = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{L_x L_y}{\bar{\lambda}_x \bar{\lambda}_y} \sqrt{1 \alpha^2}$ , where  $L_x$ ,  $L_y$  are the length and width of the wave record,
- 622 the corresponding mean wavelengths

623 
$$\bar{\lambda}_x = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{m_{00}}{m_{20}}}, \ \bar{\lambda}_y = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{m_{00}}{m_{02}}}, \ \text{and} \ \alpha = m_{11}/\sqrt{m_{02}m_{20}}.$$
 The moments of the

- 624 directional spectrum are given by  $m_{ij} = \iint k_x^i k_y^j F(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}$ . Although the steepness
- 625 parameter  $\mu$  is often defined as the product of  $\eta_{rms} k_p$  (e.g., Mori and Janssen 2006;
- 626 Romero and Melville 2011), for consistency with Fedele et al. (2013), here  $\mu$  is
- 627 defined from moments of the frequency spectrum according to  $\mu = \frac{\eta_{rms} \bar{\omega}^2}{a} (1 \nu + \nu)$
- 628  $v^2$ ), where  $\overline{\omega} = m_1/m_0$  is the spectrally weighted mean frequency, and v =
- 629  $\sqrt{m_0 m_2/m_1^2 1}$  is a measure of the spectral bandwidth. The frequency spectrum
- 630  $\Psi(\omega) = \phi(k) \partial k / \partial \omega$ , with  $\omega = (g k)^{1/2}$  according to the linear dispersion relationship,

631 and the moments 
$$m_i = \int \omega^i \psi(\omega) d\omega$$
.

632 Acknowledgments.

633 We thank Bill Krabill and NASA, for the loan of the ATM lidar, and the ATM operator

team, James Yungel, Matt Linkswiler and Earl Frederick during HiRes. We thank the

- 635 pilots and scientific staff at CIRPAS, especially Haf Jonsson, for making the HiRes
- 636 Twin Otter flights successful. We are thankful to pilot Bradley Busch, Rick
- 637 Throckmorton and staff at Aspen Helicopters. We thank Nick Statom for operating
- 638 the MASS in the Gulf of Mexico. We are thankful to Eric Terrill, Sung Yong Kim, and
- 639 John Largier for making the HF radar current data available for this work. This work
- 640 was supported by grants from ONR and NSF (Physical Oceanography), and industry,
- to WKM. We thank anonymous reviewers whose comments helped improve the
- 642 manuscript.

## **References**

645	Alkhalidi, M.A., and Tayfun, M.A., 2013: Generalized Boccotti Distribution for
646	Nonlinear Wave Heights, Ocean Eng., 74, 101-106
647	Alves, JH. G. M., and M. L. Banner, 2003: Performance of a saturation-based
648	dissipation-rate source term in modeling the fetch-limited evolution of wind
649	waves. J. Phys. Oceanogr., <b>33</b> , 1274–1298.
650	Ardhuin, F., W. E. Rogers, A. V. Babanin, J. Filipot, R. Magne, A. Roland, A. van der
651	Westhuysen, P. Queffeulou, J. Lefevre, L. Aouf and F. Collard, 2010:
652	Semiempirical dissipation source functions for ocean waves. Part I: Definition,
653	calibration, and validation. <i>J. Phys. Oceanogr.</i> , <b>40</b> , 1917–1941.
654	Ardhuin, F., A. Roland, F. Dumas, A.C. Bennis, A. Sentchev, P. Forget, J. Wolf, F. Girard,
655	P. Osuna, and M. Benoit, 2012: Numerical wave modeling in conditions with
656	strong currents: Dissipation, refraction, and relative wind. J. Phys. Oceanogr.,
657	<b>42</b> , 2101-2120.
658	Banner, M. L., J. R. Gemmrich, and D. M. Farmer, 2002: Multiscale measurements of
659	ocean wave breaking probability. J. Phys. Oceanogr., <b>32</b> , 3364–3375.
660	Banner, M. L., and W. L. Peirson, 2007: Wave breaking onset and strength for two-
661	dimensional deep-water wave groups. J. Fluid Mech., 585, 93-115.
662	Banner, M. L., and R. P. Morison, 2010: Refined source terms in wind wave models
663	with explicit wave breaking prediction. Part I: Model framework and validation
664	against field data. <i>Ocean Modelling</i> , 33(1-2), 177–189.
665	doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2010.01.002.
666	Banner, M. L., Xavier Barthelemy , Fedele Francesco, M. Allis, A. Benetazzo, F. Dias
667	and W. L. Peirson, 2014: Linking reduced breaking crest speeds to unsteady
668	nonlinear water wave group behavior. <i>Phys. rev. lett.</i> , <b>112</b> , 114502.
669	Barbariol, F., A. Benetazzo, S. Carniel, and M. Sclavo: 2015: Space–time wave
670	extremes: the role of Metocean forcings. <i>J. Phys. Oceanogr.</i> , <b>45</b> , 1897-1916.
671	Benetazzo, A., F. Barbariol, F. Bergamasco, A. Torsello, S. Carniel, and M. Sclavo,
672	2015: Observation of extreme sea waves in a space–time ensemble. <i>J. Phys.</i>
673	<i>Oceanogr.</i> , <b>45</b> , 2261-2275.
674	Bretherton, F. P., R. E. Davis, and C. B. Fandry, 1976: A technique for objective
675	analysis and design of oceanographic experiments applied to MODE-73. Deep-
676	Sea Res., <b>23</b> , 559–582.
677	Fedele, F., 2012: Space-time extremes in short-crested storm seas. J. Phys. Oceanogr.,
678	<b>42</b> , 1601-1615.
679	Fedele, Francesco, A. Benetazzo, G. Gallego, P. C. Shih, A. Yezzi, F. Barbariol, and F.
680	Ardhuin, 2013: Space-time measurements of oceanic sea states. <i>Ocean</i>
681	Modelling, 70, 103-115.
682	Gallet, B., and Young, W. R., 2014: Refraction of swell by surface currents. J. Mar. Res.,
683	/ <b>2</b> , 105-126.
684	Gordon, H. R., 1997: Atmospheric correction of ocean color imagery in the Earth
682	Observing System era. J. Geophys. Res., <b>102</b> , 17,081–17,106.

686	Chelton, D. B., M. H. Freilich, J. M. Sienkiewicz, and J. M. Von Ahn, 2006: On the Use of
687	QuikSCAT Scatterometer Measurements of Surface Winds for Marine Weather
688	Prediction. <i>Mon. Weather Rev.</i> , <b>134</b> , 2055–2071.
689	Clark, D. B., L. Lenain, F. Feddersen, E. Boss, and R. T. Guza, 2014: Aerial Imaging of
690	Fluorescent Dye in the Near Shore. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., <b>31</b> , 1410–1421,
691	doi:10.1175/JTECH-D-13-00230.1.
692	Davis, Russ E., 1985: Objective mapping by least squares fitting. J. Geophys. Res.,
693	4773-4777.
694	Denman, K. L., and H. J. Freeland, 1985: Correlation scales, objective mapping and a
695	statistical test of geostrophy over the continental shelf. J. Mar. Res., 43, 517–539
696	Dysthe, K. B., 2001: Refraction of gravity waves by weak current gradients. <i>J. Fluid</i>
697	<i>Mech.</i> , <b>442</b> , 157–159, doi:10.1017/S0022112001005237.
698	Dysthe, K., H. E. Krogstad, and P. Müller, 2008: Oceanic rogue waves. Annu. Rev. Fluid
699	<i>Mech.</i> <b>40</b> , 287-310.
700	Farmer, D. M., C. L. McNeil, and B. D. Johnson, 1993: Evidence for the importance of
701	bubbles in increasing air-sea gas flux. <i>Nature</i> , <b>361</b> , 620–623.
702	Friehe, C. A. and Coauthors, 1991: Air-sea fluxes and surface layer turbulence
703	around a sea surface temperature front. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> , <b>96</b> , 8593–8609.
704	Grare, L., L. Lenain, and W. K. Melville, 2013: Wave-Coherent Airflow and Critical
705	Layers over Ocean Waves. <i>J. Phys. Oceanogr.</i> , <b>43</b> , 2156–2172, doi:10.1175/JPO-
706	D-13-056.1.
707	Haus, B. K., 2007: Surface current effects on the fetch-limited growth of wave
708	energy. J. Geophys. Res., <b>112</b> , C03003, doi:10.1029/2006JC003924.
709	Hasselmann, K. and Coauthors, 1973: Measurements of wind-wave growth and swell
710	decay during the Joint North Sea Wave Project (JONSWAP). Dtsch. Hydrogr. Z.
711	Suppl., <b>A8</b> , 95pp.
712	Henry, W., 1979: Aspects of the Fate of Cold Fronts in the Gulf of Mexico. <i>Mon.</i>
713	Weather Rev., <b>107</b> , 1078–1082.
714	Huang, ZC., B. D. Reineman, L. Lenain, W. K. Melville, and J. H. Middleton, 2012:
715	Airborne lidar measurements of wave energy dissipation in a coral reef lagoon
716	system. J. Geophys. Res., <b>117</b> , C03016, doi:10.1029/2011JC007203.
717	Hwang, P., 2005: Altimeter measurements of wind and wave modulation by the
718	Kuroshio in the Yellow and East China Seas. J. Oceanogr., <b>61</b> , 987–993.
719	Hwang, P. A., D. W. Wang, E. J. Walsh, W. B. Krabill, and R. N. Swift, 2000a: Airborne
720	Measurements of the Wavenumber Spectra of Ocean Surface Waves. Part I:
721	Spectral Slope and Dimensionless Spectral Coefficient. J. Phys. Oceanogr., <b>30</b> ,
722	2753–2767.
723	Hwang, P. A., D. W. Wang, E. J. Walsh, W. B. Krabill, and R. N. Swift, 2000b: Airborne
724	Measurements of the Wavenumber Spectra of Ocean Surface Waves. Part II:
725	Directional Distribution. J. Phys. Oceanogr., <b>30</b> , 2768–2787.
726	Janssen, T., and T. Herbers, 2009: Nonlinear wave statistics in a focal zone. <i>J. Phys.</i>
727	<i>Uceanogr.</i> , <b>39</b> , 1948–1964, doi:10.1175/2009JP04124.1.
728	Jury, M. R., 1994: A thermal front within the marine atmospheric boundary layer
729	over the Agulhas Current south of Africa: Composite aircraft observations. J.
730	Geophys. Res. Ocean., <b>99</b> , 3297–3304.

731 Kaplan, D. M., and J. Largier, 2006: HF radar-derived origin and destination of 732 surface waters off Bodega Bay, California. Deep Sea Res. Part II, 53, 2906–2930, 733 doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.07.012. 734 Kaplan, D. M., J. Largier, and L. W. Botsford, 2005: HF radar observations of surface 735 circulation off Bodega Bay (northern California, USA). J. Geophys. Res., 110, 1-736 25, doi:10.1029/2005JC002959. 737 Kenyon, K. E., 1971: Wave refraction in ocean currents. *Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr.* 738 Abstr., 18, 1023–1034. 739 Kleiss, J. M., and W. K. Melville, 2010: Observations of wave breaking kinematics in 740 fetch-limited seas. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 2575–2604, 741 doi:10.1175/2010JP04383.1. 742 Kleiss, J. M., and W. K. Melville, 2011: The analysis of sea surface imagery for 743 whitecap kinematics. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 28, 219-243, 744 doi:10.1175/2010JTECH0744.1. 745 Krabill, W., and C. Martin, 1987: Aircraft Positioning Using Global Positioning System 746 Carrier Phase Data. J. Inst. Navig., 34, 1–21. 747 Kudryavtsev, V. N., S. A. Grodsky, V. A. Dulov, and A. N. Bol'shakov, 1995: 748 Observations of wind waves in the Gulf Stream frontal zone. J. Geophys. Res., 749 **100** (C10), 20 715–20 727. 750 Lenain, L., and W. K. Melville, 2016, Evidence of Sea-State Dependence of Aerosol 751 Concentration in the Marine Atmospheric Boundary Layer, J. Phys. Oceanogr., In 752 press. 753 Loewen, M., 2002: Inside whitecaps. *Nature*, **418**, 830. Longuet-Higgins, M. S., 1957: The statistical analysis of a random, moving surface. 754 755 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A, 249, 321–387. 756 Masson, D., 1996: A case study of wave-current interaction in a strong tidal current. 757 *I. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **26**, 359–372. 758 Mathiesen, M., 1987: Wave refraction by a current whirl. J. Geophys. Res., 92, 3905-759 3912, doi:10.1029/JC092iC04p03905. 760 McWilliams, J. C., J. M. Restrepo, and E. M. Lane, 2004: An asymptotic theory for the 761 interaction of waves and currents in coastal waters. J. Fluid Mech., 511, 135-762 178, doi:10.1017/S0022112004009358. 763 McWilliams, J. C., J. Gula, M. J. Molemaker, L. Renault, and A. F. Shchepetkin, 2015: 764 Filament Frontogenesis by Boundary Layer Turbulence. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 45, 765 1988-2005. 766 McWilliams, J. C., 2016: Submesoscale currents in the ocean. Proc. R. Soc. A, 472, p. 767 20160117. 768 Melville, W. K., L. Romero, and J. M. Kleiss, 2005: Extreme wave events in the Gulf of 769 Tehuantepec. Rogue Waves: Proc. 14th 'Aha Huliko 'a Hawaiian Winter 770 *Workshop*, Honolulu, HI, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 23–28. 771 Melville, W Kendall, Luc Lenain, Daniel R Cayan, Mati Kahru, Jan P Kleissl, Paul 772 Linden, and Nicholas M Statom, 2016: The Modular Aerial Sensing System. J. 773 Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 33, 1169-1184. 774 Moore, K. D., K. J. Voss, and H. R. Gordon, 2000: Spectral reflectance of whitecaps: 775 Their contribution to water-leaving radiance. J. Geophys. Res, 105, 6493–6499.

776 Mori, N., and P.A.E.M. Janssen, 2006: On kurtosis and occurrence probability of freak 777 waves. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36,1471-1483. 778 Munk, W. H., G. R. Miller, F. E. Snodgrass, and N.F. Barber, 1963: Directional 779 recording of swell from distant storms. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lon.* A, **371** (1989), 780 1-4. 781 Munk, W. H., G. R. Miller, F. E. Snodgrass, and N. F. Barber, 2013: Correction: 782 Directional recording of swell from distant storms. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lon. A, 783 **255** (1062), 505-584. 784 Munk, W., L. Armi, K. Fischer, and F. Zachariasen, 2000: Spirals on the sea. Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A, 456, 1217–1280. 785 786 Onorato, M., D. Proment, and A. Toffoli, 2011: Triggering rogue waves in opposing 787 currents. Phys. Rev. Lett., 107, 184502. 788 Pearman, D.W., T.H.C. Herbers, T.T. Janssen, H.D. van Ettinger, S.A. McIntyre, P.F. 789 Jessen, 2014: Drifter observations of the effects of shoals and tidal-currents on 790 wave evolution in San Francisco Bight, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, **91**, 109-119. 791 Phillips, O. M., 1977: The Dynamics of the Upper Ocean. Cambridge University Press, 792 344 pp. 793 Phillips, O. M., 1984: On the Response of Short Ocean Wave Components at a Fixed 794 Wavenumber to Ocean Current Variations. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 14, 1425–1433. 795 Phillips, O. M., 1985: Spectral and statistical properties of the equilibrium range in 796 wind-generated gravity waves. 156, 505–531. 797 Pizzo, N. and Melville, W.K. 2015 Wave modulation: the geometry, kinematics and 798 dynamics of surface-wave focusing. J. Fluid Mech., sub judice. 799 Plant, W. J., W. C. Keller, and K. Hayes, 2005: Simultaneous measurement of ocean 800 winds and waves with an airborne coherent real aperture radar. J. Atmos. 801 Ocean. Technol., 22, 832-846. 802 Rapp, R. J., and W. K. Melville, 1990: Laboratory Measurements of Deep-Water 803 Breaking Waves. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lon. A, 331(1622), 735–800. 804 Reineman, B. D., L. Lenain, C. David, and W. K. Melville, 2009: A portable airborne 805 scanning lidar system for ocean and coastal applications. J. Atmos. Ocean. 806 *Technol.*, **26**, 2626–2641, doi:10.1175/2009JTECH0703.1. 807 Romero, L., and W. K. Melville, 2010a: Numerical Modeling of Fetch-Limited Waves 808 in the Gulf of Tehuantepec. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 466–468, 809 doi:10.1175/2009JP04128.1. 810 Romero, L., and W. K. Melville, 2010b: Airborne Observations of Fetch-Limited 811 Waves in the Gulf of Tehuantepec. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 441–465, 812 doi:10.1175/2009JP04127.1. 813 Romero, L., and W. K. Melville, 2011: Spatial Statistics of the Sea Surface in Fetch-814 Limited Conditions. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41, 1821–1841, 815 doi:10.1175/2011JP04535.1. 816 Romero, L., W. K. Melville, W. K., and J. M. Kleiss, 2012: Spectral Energy Dissipation 817 due to Surface-Wave Breaking. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 42, 1421–1444. 818 doi:10.1175/JPO-D-11-072.1 819 Sheres, D., and K. Kenyon, 2006: Wave Force on an Ocean Current. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 820 **36**, 212–221.

821	Stansell, P., and C. MacFarlane, 2002: Experimental investigation of wave breaking
822	criteria based on wave phase speeds. J. Phys. Oceanogr., <b>32</b> . 1269-1283.
823	Sullivan, P. P., J. C. McWilliams, and E. G. Patton, 2014: Large-Eddy Simulation of
824	Marine Atmospheric Boundary Layers above a Spectrum of Moving Waves. J.
825	<i>Atmos. Sci.</i> , <b>71</b> , 4001–4027, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-14-0095.1.
826	Sutherland, P., and W. K. Melville, 2013: Field measurements and scaling of ocean
827	surface wave-breaking statistics. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , <b>40</b> , 3074–3079,
828	doi:10.1002/grl.50584.
829	Sutherland, P., and W. K. Melville, 2015: Field Measurements of Surface and Near-
830	Surface Turbulence in the Presence of Breaking Waves. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 45,
831	943–965, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-14-0133.1.
832	Tayfun, M. A., 1980: Narrow-band nonlinear sea waves. J. Geophys. Res., 85, 1548,
833	doi:10.1029/JC085iC03p01548.
834	Thorpe, S. A., 1982: on the clouds of bubbles formed by breaking wind-waves in
835	deep water, and their role in air-sea gas transfer. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A,
836	<b>304</b> , 155–210.
837	Toffoli, A., E. Bitner-Gregersen, M. Onorato, and A. V. Babanin, 2008: Wave crest and
838	trough distributions in a broad-banded directional wave field. Ocean Eng., <b>35</b> ,
839	1784–1792, doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2008.08.010.
840	Toffoli, A., L. Cavaleri, A. V. Babanin, M. Benoit, E. M. Bitner-Gregersen, J. Monbaliu,
841	M. Onorato, A. R. Osborne, and C. T. Stansberg, 2011: Occurrence of extreme
842	waves in three-dimensional mechanically generated wave fields propagating
843	over an oblique current. <i>Nat. Haz. Earth Sys. Sci.</i> , <b>11</b> , 895-903.
844	Toffoli, A., T. Waseda, H. Houtani, L. Cavaleri, D. Greaves, and M. Onorato, 2015:
845	Rogue waves in opposing currents: an experimental study on deterministic and
846	stochastic wave trains. <i>J. Fluid Mech.</i> , <b>769</b> , 277-297.
847	Vincent, C. E., 1979: The interaction of wind-generated sea waves with tidal
848	currents. <i>J. Phys. Oceanogr.</i> , <b>9</b> , 748–755.
849	Wang, D. W., A. K. Liu, C. Y. Peng, and E. A. Meindl, 1994: Wave-current interaction
850	near the Gulf Stream during the Surface Wave Dynamics Experiment. J. Geophys.
851	<i>Res.</i> , <b>99</b> , 5065–5079.
852	White, B., and B. Fornberg, 1998: On the chance of freak waves at sea. J. Fluid Mech.,
853	<b>355</b> , 113–138.
854	

## 855 List of Figures

Fig. 1. Area of enhanced breaking due to wave-current interactions. Photo taken
looking North off the coast of Bodega Bay, California, June 17, 2010. The black
region in the lower right of the image is due to the frame of an open window of the
aircraft.

Fig. 2. Panel (a) shows a composite map of sea surface temperature off northern
California from MODIS sensors onboard Aqua and Terra satellites on June 17, 2010.
Panel (b) shows HF radar surface currents with vectors decimated by a factor of
two. The gray line shows the fight track of the Twin Otter aircraft and the thick
white line indicates the area of enhanced breaking (see Figure 1). The red arrow
indicates the mean wind direction measured at FLIP (white circle). The red boxes in
(b) show the location of the HF radars.

867 **Fig. 3**. Sea surface temperature (a) and surface current (b) from HYCOM reanalysis 868 in the northern Gulf of Mexico on October 30, 2011. The gray line shows the 869 coastline, the thick black line shows the flight track, and the red arrow indicates the 870 mean wind direction from NDBC buoy 42039 (white circle). The dashed box 871 indicates the study area. The gray arrows in (b) are the current vectors decimated 872 by a factor of 7. The inset in panel (a) shows the coastline around the Gulf of Mexico 873 and parts of the Caribbean Sea with the gray box indicating the corresponding 874 zoomed-in area of the figures.

**Fig. 4**. Sample directional wavenumber spectrum collected at the edge of the Loop Current on Oct. 30<sup>th</sup>, 2011. The spectrum is rotated into the wind direction (towards 233° from true north) so the wind direction is now  $\theta$ =0°.

**Fig. 5.** Sample wavenumber spectra and their moments from lidar measurements collected at the edge of the Loop Current. (a) Azimuth integrated spectra  $\phi(k)$ , (b) spectral saturation  $B(k) = \phi(k) k^3$ , (c) directional spreading  $\sigma(k)$ , and (d) normalized saturation  $\tilde{B}=B/\sigma$ . The red and blue lines correspond to measurements collected at 200 and 550 m above MSL. Note that for convenience  $\sigma$  in (c) is in degrees, while in (d) it is in radians. In panel (a) the black solid and dashed lines are reference powerlaws of  $k^{-2.5}$  and  $k^{-3}$ , respectively.

Fig. 6. (a) Georeferenced sea surface temperature map from airborne IR imagery
during HiRes on June 17, 2010, with horizontal resolution of 2 m. The black dashed
box indicates the corresponding zoomed-in panel (b). Corresponding downward
looking airborne visible image is shown in panel (c). The yellow dashed line shows
the approximate location of the submesoscale front in (a) and (b).

**Fig. 7**. Spatial cross-front distributions of SST (a), surface vorticity  $\zeta = v_x - u_y$  from the HF radar surface currents at 2 km resolution (b); whitecap coverage *W* (c), and wind speed at 30 m above MSL measured by the Twin Otter aircraft. The position x=0 corresponds to the SW end of the sampling track in panel (e) shown with red and blue lines. (e) Objectively mapped significant wave height from ATM measurements, with the thick white line showing the location of the area with enhanced wave breaking (Fig. 1). The red arrow shows the mean wind and wave direction. The peak 897 wavelength is  $112 \pm 14$  m. The black arrows show current vectors, decimated by a 898 factor of two, from the HF radar data. The gray dots show the ATM sampling 899 locations. The thick red and blue lines indicate sampling tracks with visible imagery 900 used to process the  $\Lambda(c_b)$  distributions shown in Figure 8 corresponding to the 901 warm and cold SST areas, respectively.

902 **Fig. 8**. Breaking distributions,  $\Lambda(c_b)$ , across the area of enhanced wave breaking. The 903 red and blue lines correspond to measurements over the warm and cold sides of the 904 front, respectively. See sampling locations in Figure 7. The black dashed line is a 905 reference power-law of  $c^{-6}$ . The two distributions exhibit the largest differences in 906 two of the measured regions for  $0.4 < c_b < 2$  m/s and 3 m/s  $< c_b < 10$  m/s (or 1.5 907 rad/m < k < 40 rad/m and 0.06 rad/m < k < 0.4 rad/m, assuming the linear 908 dispersion relationship and a value of  $\alpha$ =0.8), with more breaking on the cold side of 909 the front. The inset shows  $\Lambda(c_b)$  compensated by  $c_b^6$ .

910 **Fig. 9**. Objectively mapped spectral moments across the area of enhanced wave 911 breaking (thick black line) from lidar data. Degree of saturation  $\langle B \rangle$  (a), directional 912 spreading  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  (b), and normalized saturation  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  (c). The brackets represent 913 averages in the range  $k_p \leq k < 0.4$  rad/m, where  $k_p$ =0.056 rad/m. The gray dots 914 indicate the mean sampling locations by the lidar.

915 **Fig. 10**. (a) Fractional whitecap coverage *W* across the sea surface temperature 916 front. (b,c) Mean saturation and normalized saturation, respectively, for  $k_p$  rad/m < 917 k < 0.4 rad/m. The thick black line shows the location of the area of enhanced wave 918 breaking.

**Fig. 11.** Ray tracing over the surface vorticity field of the HF radar current data. The vorticity  $\zeta$  is normalized by the Coriolis parameter *f*. Ray trajectories were integrated from the NW with mean peak wavenumber  $k_p$  and direction computed over the sampling area. The thick gray line shows the location of the area with enhanced wave breaking. The white dots show the mean sampling locations by the lidar.

925 **Fig. 12**. Objective maps of (a) Significant wave height  $H_{s_1}$  (b) significant slope  $\eta_{rms} k_{p_1}$ 926 (c) fractional whitecap coverage W, degree of saturation  $\langle B \rangle$  (d), directional 927 spreading  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  (e), and normalized saturation  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  (f), with the brackets corresponding 928 to a spectral average for  $k_p < k < 1.0$  rad/m. The black arrows (a) show the dominant 929 wave direction and the white dots (a,c) show the mean sampling locations. The gray 930 vectors show surface currents from HYCOM analysis. The dashed black lines 931 delineate the three data groups S1, S2, and S3 used to compute the wave statistics 932 (Fig. 13).

933 **Fig. 13**. Measured statistical distributions from the data subsets S1, S2, and S3,

934 corresponding to the red, green, and blue lines, respectively. Exceedance probability

935 distributions of wave crests  $\eta_c$  and troughs  $|\eta_t|$  normalized by the root-mean-square

936 surface elevation  $\eta_{rms}$  are shown in panels (a) and (b), respectively. The triangles in

937 (a,b) correspond to second order Tayfun distributions with parametric dependence

938 on the significant slope. Panel (c) shows the exceedance probability of wave height

939 *H* normalized by the significant wave height  $H_s$ . The colored dashed lines

940 correspond to the generalized Boccotti distribution (Alkhalidi and Tayfun, 2013). The

941 black dotted vertical line shows the threshold criterion for extreme waves. Panel (d)

shows the statistical distribution of the length of crests per unit area with elevation

943 exceeding normalized thresholds  $\eta_{o}/\eta_{rms}$ . The black dotted line and colored triangles

944 correspond to the narrowband linear and second-order nonlinear distributions,

945 respectively (Romero and Melville 2011). The horizontal gray bars correspond to

946 the uncertainty based on the standard error of  $\eta_{rms}$ .

947 **Fig. 14**. Probability of exceedance of dimensionless extreme wave elevations

948  $\eta_{max}/\eta_{rms}$ . The circles, triangles, and squares correspond to data subsets S1, S2, and

949 S3, respectively. The corresponding linear and nonlinear distributions of wave

950 extremes over an area (Fedele et al. 2013) are shown with dashed and solid lines, 951 respectively. The error bars are calculated from the error of the mean of  $\eta_{rms}$  due to 952 spatial inhomogeneities.

**Fig. 15.** Ray tracing over the surface vorticity field of HYCOM 4 km surface current data in the northern Gulf of Mexico. The vertical vorticity  $\zeta = v_x - u_y$  is normalized by the Coriolis parameter *f*. Ray trajectories (black lines) were integrated with measured mean peak wavenumber  $k_p$  and direction and assumed constant from the NE. The white dots show the location of the airborne wave measurements. The solid gray lines delineate the three data groups (S1-S3) used to compute the wave statistics (Figs. 13 and 14).



961 962 Fig. 1. Area of enhanced breaking due to wave-current interactions. Photo taken 963 looking North off the coast of Bodega Bay, California, June 17, 2010. The black 964 region in the lower right of the image is due to the frame of an open window of the 965 aircraft.



967 -123.5 -123.3 -123.1 -122.9 -123.5 -123.3 -123.1 -122.9
968 Fig. 2. Panel (a) shows a composite map of sea surface temperature off northern
969 California from MODIS sensors onboard Aqua and Terra satellites on June 17, 2010.
970 Panel (b) shows HF radar surface currents with vectors decimated by a factor of
971 two. The gray line shows the fight track of the Twin Otter aircraft and the thick
972 white line indicates the area of enhanced breaking (see Figure 1). The red arrow
973 indicates the mean wind direction measured at FLIP (white circle). The red boxes in
974 (b) show the location of the HF radars.



976 977 Fig. 3. Sea surface temperature (a) and surface current (b) from HYCOM reanalysis 978 in the northern Gulf of Mexico on October 30, 2011. The gray line shows the 979 coastline, the thick black line shows the flight track, and the red arrow indicates the 980 mean wind direction from NDBC buoy 42039 (white circle). The dashed box 981 indicates the study area. The gray arrows in (b) are the current vectors decimated 982 by a factor of 7. The inset in panel (a) shows the coastline around the Gulf of Mexico 983 and parts of the Caribbean Sea with the gray box indicating the corresponding 984 zoomed-in area of the figures.



Fig. 4. Sample directional wavenumber spectrum collected at the edge of the Loop Current on Oct.  $30^{\text{th}}$ , 2011. The spectrum is rotated into the wind direction (towards 233° from true north) so the wind direction is now  $\theta=0^{\circ}$ .



991

Fig. 5. Sample wavenumber spectra and their moments from lidar measurements collected at the edge of the Loop Current. (a) Azimuth integrated spectra  $\phi(k)$ , (b) spectral saturation  $B(k) = \phi(k) k^3$ , (c) directional spreading  $\sigma(k)$ , and (d) normalized saturation  $\tilde{B}=B/\sigma$ . The red and blue lines correspond to measurements collected at 200 and 550 m, respectively, above MSL. Note that for convenience  $\sigma$  in (c) is in degrees, while in (d) it is in radians. In panel (a) the black solid and dashed lines are reference power-laws of  $k^{-2.5}$  and  $k^{-3}$ , respectively.



1000

Fig. 6. (a) Georeferenced sea surface temperature map from airborne IR imagery during HiRes on June 17, 2010, with horizontal resolution of 2 m. The black dashed box indicates the corresponding zoomed-in panel (b). Corresponding downward looking airborne visible image is shown in panel (c). The yellow dashed line shows the approximate location of the submesoscale front in (a) and (b).



1007

Fig. 7. Spatial cross-front distributions of SST (a), surface vorticity  $\zeta = v_x - u_y$  from the 1008 1009 HF radar surface currents at 2 km resolution (b); whitecap coverage W (c), and wind 1010 speed at 30 m above MSL measured by the Twin Otter aircraft. The position x=0 corresponds to the SW end of the sampling track in panel (e) shown with red and 1011 1012 blue lines. (e) Objectively mapped significant wave height from ATM measurements, 1013 with the thick white line showing the location of the area with enhanced wave 1014 breaking (Fig. 1). The red arrow shows the mean wind and wave direction. The peak 1015 wavelength is  $112 \pm 14$  m. The black arrows show current vectors, decimated by a 1016 factor of two, from the HF radar data. The gray dots show the ATM sampling 1017 locations. The thick red and blue lines indicate sampling tracks with visible imagery 1018 used to process the  $\Lambda(c_b)$  distributions shown in Figure 8 corresponding to the 1019 warm and cold SST areas, respectively. 1020



1022 Fig. 8. Breaking distributions,  $\Lambda(c_b)$ , across the area of enhanced wave breaking. The 1023 1024 red and blue lines correspond to measurements over the warm and cold sides of the 1025 front, respectively. See sampling locations in Figure 7. The black dashed line is a 1026 reference power-law of  $c^{-6}$ . The two distributions exhibit the largest differences in 1027 two of the measured regions for  $0.4 < c_b < 2$  m/s and 3 m/s  $< c_b < 10$  m/s (or 1.5 1028 rad/m < k < 40 rad/m and 0.06 rad/m < k < 0.4 rad/m, assuming the linear 1029 dispersion relationship and a value of  $\alpha$ =0.8), with more breaking on the cold side of 1030 the front. The inset shows  $\Lambda(c_b)$  compensated by  $c_b^6$ .



1032 -123.4 -123.3 -123.2 -123.4 -123.3 -123.2 -123.4 -123.3 -123.2 1033 Fig. 9. Objectively mapped spectral moments across the area of enhanced wave 1034 breaking (thick black line) from lidar data. Degree of saturation  $\langle B \rangle$  (a), directional 1035 spreading  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  (b), and normalized saturation  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  (c). The brackets represent 1036 averages in the range  $k_p \leq k < 0.4$  rad/m, where  $k_p$ = 0.056 rad/m. The gray dots 1037 indicate the mean sampling locations by the lidar.



1041 front. (b,c) Mean saturation and normalized saturation, respectively, for  $k_p$  rad/m < 1042 k < 0.4 rad/m. The thick black line shows the location of the area of enhanced wave 1043 breaking.



1045

1046 Fig. 11. Ray tracing over the surface vorticity field of the HF radar current data. The 1047 vorticity  $\zeta$  is normalized by the Coriolis parameter *f*. Ray trajectories were 1048 integrated from the NW with mean peak wavenumber  $k_p$  and direction computed 1049 over the sampling area. The thick gray line shows the location of the area with 1050 enhanced wave breaking. The white dots show the mean sampling locations by the 1051 lidar.





1054 Fig. 12. Objective maps of (a) Significant wave height  $H_s$ , (b) significant slope  $\eta_{rms} k_p$ , 1055 (c) fractional whitecap coverage *W*, degree of saturation  $\langle B \rangle$  (d), directional spreading  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  (e), and normalized saturation  $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$  (f), with the brackets corresponding 1056 1057 to a spectral average for  $k_p < k < 1.0$  rad/m. The black arrows (a) show the dominant wave direction and the white dots (a,c) show the mean sampling locations. The gray 1058 1059 vectors show surface currents from HYCOM analysis. The dashed black lines 1060 delineate the three data groups S1, S2, and S3 used to compute the wave statistics 1061 (Fig. 13).













1087 -88 -87.5 -87 -86.5 -86 -85.5 1088 Fig. 15. Ray tracing over the surface vorticity field of HYCOM 4 km surface current 1089 data in the northern Gulf of Mexico. The vertical vorticity  $\zeta = v_x - u_y$  is normalized by 1090 the Coriolis parameter *f*. Ray trajectories (black lines) were integrated with 1091 measured mean peak wavenumber  $k_p$  and direction and assumed constant from the 1092 NE. The white dots show the location of the airborne wave measurements. The solid 1093 gray lines delineate the three data groups (S1-S3) used to compute the wave 1094 statistics (Figs. 13 and 14).