



Body-Wave Imaging of Earth's Mantle Discontinuities from Ambient **Seismic Noise** P. Poli et al. Science 338, 1063 (2012); DOI: 10.1126/science.1228194

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

If you wish to distribute this article to others, you can order high-quality copies for your colleagues, clients, or customers by clicking here.

Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles can be obtained by following the guidelines here.

The following resources related to this article are available online at www.sciencemag.org (this information is current as of December 9, 2012):

Updated information and services, including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online version of this article at:

http://www.sciencemag.org/content/338/6110/1063.full.html

Supporting Online Material can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2012/11/21/338.6110.1063.DC1.html http://www.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2012/11/21/338.6110.1063.DC2.html

A list of selected additional articles on the Science Web sites related to this article can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/338/6110/1063.full.html#related

This article cites 36 articles, 12 of which can be accessed free: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/338/6110/1063.full.html#ref-list-1

This article has been **cited by** 1 articles hosted by HighWire Press; see: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/338/6110/1063.full.html#related-urls

This article appears in the following subject collections: Geochemistry, Geophysics http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/collection/geochem_phys

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2012 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title Science is a registered trademark of AAAS.

- 4. A. Schiffman, D. W. Chandler, Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 14, 371 (1995).
- 5. S. Stolte, Nature 353, 391 (1991).
- 6. D. Watanabe, H. Ohoyama, T. Matsumura, T. Kasai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 043201 (2007).
- 7. K. T. Lorenz et al., Science 293, 2063 (2001).
- 8. C. J. Eyles et al., Nat. Chem. 3, 597 (2011). 9. H. Kohauchi, T. Suzuki, M. H. Alexander, Science 294, 832 (2001).
- 10. S. Y. T. van de Meerakker, H. L. Bethlem, G. Meijer, Nat. Phys. 4, 595 (2008).
- 11. S. Y. T. van de Meerakker, H. L. Bethlem, N. Vanhaecke, G. Meijer, Chem. Rev. 112, 4828 (2012).
- 12. J. J. Gilijamse, S. Hoekstra, S. Y. T. van de Meerakker, G. C. Groenenboom, G. Meijer, Science 313, 1617 (2006).
- 13. L. Scharfenberg et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12, 10660 (2010).
- 14. P. Hartogh et al., Nature 478, 218 (2011).
- 15 G Paterson M L Costen K G McKendrick Int Rev Phys. Chem. 31, 69 (2012).
- 16. D. C. Clary, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 41, 61 (1990).
- 17. I. W. M. Smith, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 49, 29 (2011).
- 18. R. B. Bernstein, Science 144, 141 (1964).
- 19. B. C. Sawyer et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 13, 19059 (2011).
- 20. C. Berteloite et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 203201 (2010). 21. K. Schreel, J. J. ter Meulen, J. Chem. Phys. 105, 4522 (1996).

- 22. The labels $X^2 \Pi_{3/2}$, $X^2 \Pi_{1/2}$, v, and j indicate the electronic states, the vibrational state, and the rotational state of both the OH and the NO radical, respectively. The spectroscopic symmetry labels e and f refer to the total parity of the electronic wave function, exclusive of rotation. The total inversion parity is indicated by the additional labels + and -.
- 23. H. Kohguchi, T. Suzuki, Annu. Rep. Prog. Chem. Sect. C 98, 421 (2002).
- 24. M. T. Vonk, J. A. Bacon, C. F. Giese, W. R. Gentry, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 1353 (1997).
- 25. P. Sharkey, I. R. Sims, I. W. M. Smith, P. Bocherel, B. R. Rowe, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 90, 3609 (1994)
- 26. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on Science Online.
- 27. L. Scharfenberg, H. Haak, G. Meijer, S. Y. T. van de Meerakker, Phys. Rev. A 79, 023410 (2009).
- 28 It was verified that all scattered molecules are detected with equal probability, and no density-to-flux correction was needed to relate the measured scattering signals to relative inelastic cross sections. See supplementary materials for more information.
- 29. L. Scharfenberg et al., Eur. Phys. J. D 65, 189 (2011).
- 30. P. J. Dagdigian, M. H. Alexander, J. Chem. Phys. 130, 094303 (2009).
- 31. M. T. Nguyen, R. Sumathi, D. Sengupta, J. Peeters, Chem. Phys. 230, 1 (1998).

- 32. The OH-NO spin states with S = 0 and S = 1 are not distinguished in our model; the 4×4 matrices for each spin state are therefore identical.
- 33. Although the quadrupole moment vanishes in the j = 1/2state of NO, the quadrupole moment in the molecular frame contributes to the collisions producing NO states with j = 3/2 and 5/2 that yield important contributions to the measured cross sections.

Acknowledgments: S.Y.T.v.d.M. acknowledges support from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) via a VIDI grant. G.M. and K.B.G. acknowledge support from the ERC-2009-AdG under grant agreement 247142-MolChip. K.L. and A.v.d.A. acknowledge the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (AvHF) for a Humboldt Research Award. X.W. acknowledges the AvHF for a research fellowship. We thank J. Blokland for her help setting up the narrowband laser system. We thank the referees for valuable and stimulating comments. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supplementary Materials

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/338/6110/1060/DC1 Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S3 Tables S1 and S2 References (34-49)

19 June 2012; accepted 10 October 2012 10.1126/science.1229549

Body-Wave Imaging of Earth's Mantle Discontinuities from Ambient Seismic Noise

P. Poli,* M. Campillo, H. Pedersen, LAPNET Working Group

Ambient seismic noise correlations are widely used for high-resolution surface-wave imaging of Earth's lithosphere. Similar observations of the seismic body waves that propagate through the interior of Earth would provide a window into the deep Earth. We report the observation of the mantle transition zone through noise correlations of P waves as they are reflected by the discontinuities associated with the top [410 kliometers (km)] and the bottom (660 km) of this zone. Our data demonstrate that high-resolution mapping of the mantle transition zone is possible without using earthquake sources.

arth's upper and lower mantle are separated by the transition zone, where the mantle mineralogy changes. At the top (~410 km depth) and bottom (~660 km depth) of the transition zone, phase changes introduce a rapid increase in seismic velocities over narrow depth intervals. This transition zone has a major role in Earth dynamics, particularly as it influences the convection within the mantle, slowing the subduction of slabs and the ascent of plumes (1, 2). Information from rock physics and the seismic character of the 410-km and 660-km discontinuities can constrain the mineralogy and temperatures of the mantle (3). However, mapping the depths and lateral variations of these discontinuities (4, 5) remains difficult. Seismic studies based

on the analysis of waves emitted by earthquakes are limited by the geographical distribution of the earthquakes and by the uncertainties in our knowledge of the location and the rupture processes.

Promising results from correlations of the coda of seismic waves (6) have led to the recent proposal that correlations of the continuous records of seismic ambient noise recorded at two distant points can provide an estimation of Earth's impulse response between these two points (7). This impulse response contains information on seismic wave speeds (7) and amplitude decays (8) without the need for the use of active sources or earthquakes. Because these seismic noise sources are located at Earth's surface, the noise correlations are dominated by surface waves, and the technique has become useful for seismic imaging at different scales (9-13). Seismic noise propagates continuously through Earth and is mainly created by oceanic swells and atmospheric disturbances (14-19).

Surface waves, however, are not sufficient to explore the deep structure of Earth, as they have limited depth resolution. Recent studies have detected high-frequency body waves within noise correlations at both the crustal scale (20-22) and at a very local scale (23). Here, we describe the use of seismic noise correlations to extract bodywave reflections from the 410-km and 660-km discontinuities of Earth's transition zone.

It is now known that seismic noise includes body waves that propagate through the whole planet (19, 24, 25), just as surface waves propagate through the upper layers of Earth (Fig. 1A). As for the surface-wave component of the wave field, we do not expect the noise field to be under the exact mathematical conditions for retrieval of the complete Earth impulse responses (26, 27). We show in the following that conditions are nonetheless favorable enough to extract deep bodywave phases by correlating ambient seismic noise. Because the signals we track have small amplitudes. we have applied specific processing techniques that are designed to improve the signal-to-noise ratio.

We used data from the temporary POLENET/ LAPNET experiments in northern Finland (28) (Fig. 1B), complemented with data from permanent broadband stations. We previously extracted P waves and S waves (22) that are reflected on the Moho (the lower limit of Earth's crust). These data showed that the ancient crust in the study area (29, 30) is relatively transparent to seismic waves. Here, we used data from 42 stations that were continually operating from January to December 2008. For each of the 861 station pairs (fig. S1), we calculated the noise correlation of the vertical records in the frequency range 0.1 to 0.5 Hz, using the same processing as that implemented to extract Moho-reflected waves (22). We subsequently used the station pairs for which

Jownloaded from www.sciencemag.org on December 9, 2012

Institut des Sciences de la Terre (ISTerre), Université de Grenoble I, CNRS, BP 53, F-38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: polip@ujf-grenoble.fr

Fig. 1. Reflected body waves from the mantle transition zone discontinues beneath Finland. (A) Earth model in which noise is generated from oceanic sources (stars) and propagates partly as body waves (dashed blue lines) to the seismic sensors (pentagons). Correlation of the seismic noise recorded at the two sensors in theory yields the complete set of waves that would be recorded at one sensor if a seismic source had been active at the other sensor. The right panel shows the two body-wave reflections that are the focus of this report: P waves reflected on the 410-km and 660-km discontinuities (red dashed lines). (B) Map showing the stations of the seismic array (red triangles) in northern Finland. (C) Slowness-time



stacks of the noise correlations (top) and the synthetic seismograms calculated using the AK135 standard Earth model (bottom). Note the wave arrivals at the same times as predicted by the AK135 model.

potential body waves reflected at the 410-km and 660-km interfaces did not arrive within the surface-wave train. For each of these traces, we folded the positive and negative time lags of the correlations and zeroed out the time window corresponding to the surface-wave arrivals.

To observe small-amplitude waves (fig. S2), we used stacking techniques that allow us to enhance the coherent body waves. Prior to the stacking, we aligned the traces along the predicted arrival times of the 410-km *P*-wave reflection using the AK135 standard Earth model (*31*) that was adapted to take into account the local crustal structure. Once aligned, the whole data set was stacked in the slowness-time domain.

The results of the slowness-time stack (Fig. 1C) show two peaks of energy at arrival times of approximately 100 and 150 s. Both peaks are located at zero slowness, which means that no further velocity correction is needed in addition to the initial alignment using the AK135 model, and that these are vertically propagating waves. We tentatively interpreted these two peaks as P waves reflected by the 410-km discontinuity (*P*410*P*) and the 660-km discontinuity (*P*660*P*).

To support this interpretation, we compared the two types of data by calculating the synthetic seismograms for each station pair and applying the same processing as that used for the field data. Prior to the stacking, we normalized the spectral amplitude of the synthetic seismograms and multiplied it by the average spectrum of the noise correlations. The comparison of the synthetics and the data stack confirms our interpretation that the observed peaks are the *P*410*P* and *P*660*P* reflections, despite slightly different waveforms



Fig. 2. Data fit and final model obtained from forward modeling. **(A)** Comparison of the zero-slowness trace extracted from the slowness-timestack of the noise cor-

relations (red) and two zero-slowness traces of the synthetic seismogram stacks (as processed for the noise correlations): the AK135 standard Earth model (blue, left) and our final model (blue, right). The predicted vertical travel times for the P410P and P660P reflections in the AK135 model are shown (horizontal lines). The stack traces are normalized using the peak amplitude. (**B**) Final model (red line) for the mantle transition zone beneath northern Finland as compared to the AK135 model (dashed blue line). Insets show the detailed structure of the two discontinuities.

V_P (km/s)

(Fig. 1C). The agreement of data and synthetic stacks is a good indication of the quality of the retrieved Green's function.

We attribute the minor differences between field data and synthetics to structural differences between the global reference model (31) and Earth's mantle beneath the study area. To test this hypothesis, we calculated the synthetic seismogram stacks for a series of models (table S1), and we qualitatively evaluated the fit between the stack of synthetic seismograms and the correlations (fig. S3). With the AK135 model as reference, we modified the depths of the two discontinuities and used gradients over narrow depth intervals, rather than first-order discontinuities. The stack of synthetics associated with the best model (Fig. 2A) is in good agreement with the data: The overall fit of the observations was drastically improved relative to that obtained using the AK135 model. With this refined model, the arrival times and the relative P410P and P660P amplitudes are similar.

The final model (Fig. 2B) of our study shows a "410-km discontinuity" that is 15 km thick and ranges from 405 to 420 km in depth. The "660-km discontinuity" is 4 km thick, at depths of 650 to 654 km. The depths of these two discontinuities are within the variations observed at a global scale (4, 5) and are in good agreement with a receiver function study in the same area (32). Our additional constraints on the fine structure of the discontinuities corresponded to those predicted by the thermodynamic modeling of the phase transitions (33, 34) and to constraints provided by seismological studies (35, 36).

We have shown that it is possible to identify and characterize deep body waves that propagate through Earth. Our study used a dense seismic network that is located above a relatively transparent Earth crust. Using seismic noise to image mantle discontinuities has several advantages. First, the correlation technique is independent of earthquake occurrence, and therefore independent of the uncertainties that are associated with source location, origin time, and detailed slip history. Second, the amount of noise correlation scales according to N^2 , where N is the number of stations, so it is relatively easy to obtain a large amount of data. Finally, the body waves that we have extracted are relatively high frequency (0.1 to 0.5 Hz) and they are sufficiently broadband to finely resolve the structure of the discontinuities.

References and Notes

- 1. D. Zhao, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 146, 3 (2004).
- 2. C. Li, R. D. van der Hilst, E. R. Engdahl, S. Burdick,
- Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 9, Q05018 (2008).
 Q. Cao, R. D. van der Hilst, M. V. de Hoop, S. H. Shim, Science 332, 1068 (2011).
- Y. Gu, A. M. Dziewonski, C. B. Agee, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 157, 57 (1998).
- 5. P. Shearer, G. Masters, Nature 355, 791 (1992).
- 6. M. Campillo, A. Paul, Science 299, 547 (2003).
- N. M. Shapiro, M. Campillo, Geophys. Res. Lett. 31, L07614 (2004).
- 8. G. A. Prieto, M. Denolle, J. F. Lawrence, G. C. Beroza, *C. R. Geosci.* **3**, 558 (2011).

- N. M. Shapiro, M. Campillo, L. Stehly, M. H. Ritzwoller, Science 307, 1615 (2005).
- K. G. Sabra, P. Gerstoft, P. Roux, W. A. Kuperman, M. C. Fehler, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32**, L14311 (2005).
- 11. H. Yao, R. D. van der Hilst, *Geophys. J. Int.* **179**, 1113 (2009).
- 12. L. Stehly *et al.*, *Geophys. J. Int.* **178**, 338 (2009).
- F. C. Lin, M. H. Ritzwoller, R. Snieder, *Geophys. J. Int.* 177, 1091 (2009).
- M. S. Longuet-Higgins, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. A* 243, 1 (1950).
- 15. A. Friedrich, F. Krüger, K. Klinge, J. Seismol. 2, 47 (1998).
- 16. N. Kobayashi, K. Nishida, Nature 395, 357 (1998).
- 17. G. Ekström, J. Geophys. Res. 106, 26483 (2001).
- J. Rhie, B. Romanowicz, Nature 431, 552 (2004).
 G. Hillers et al., Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 13, 001021 (2012).
- 20. Z. Zhan, S. Ni, D. V. Helmberger, R. W. Clayton, *Geophys. J. Int.* **182**, 408 (2010).
- E. Ruigrok, X. Campman, K. Wapenaar, C. R. Geosci. 343, 512 (2011).
- 22. P. Poli, H. A. Pedersen, M. Campillo, POLENET/ LAPNET Working Group, *Geophys. J. Int.* **188**, 549 (2012).
- 23. P. Roux, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32**, L19303 (2005).
- P. Gerstoft, P. M. Shearer, N. Harmon, J. Zhang, Geophys. Res. Lett. 35, L23306 (2008).
- M. Landès, F. Hubans, N. M. Shapiro, A. Paul, M. Campillo, J. Geophys. Res. 115, B05302 (2010).
- P. Gouédard *et al.*, *Geophys. Prospect.* 56, 375 (2008).
- K. Wapenaar, E. Slob, R. Snieder, A. Curtis, *Geophysics* 75, 75A211 (2010).
- E. Kozlovskaya, M. Poutanen, POLENET/ LAPNET Working Group, Eos 87 (fall meet. suppl.), abstr. 541A-1311 (2006).
- T. Janik, E. Kozlovskaya, J. Yliniemi, J. Geophys. Res. 112, B04302 (2007).
- P. Poli, M. Campillo, H. A. Pedersen, "Seismic noise tomography in regions with small velocity contrasts and strong noise directivity: Application to the northern Baltic Shield", available at http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/ hal-00721895
- B. L. N. Kennett, E. R. Engdahl, R. Buland, *Geophys. J. Int.* 122, 108 (1995).
- A. Alinaghi, G. Bock, R. Kind, W. Hanka, K. Wylegalla, TOR, SVEKALAPKO, Working Groups, *Geophys. J. Int.* 155, 641 (2003).
- E. Ohtani, T. Sakai, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **170**, 240 (2008).
- L. Stixrude, C. Lithgow-Bertelloni, *Geophys. J. Int.* 184, 1180 (2011).

- 35. T. Melbourne, D. Helmberger, J. Geophys. Res. 103, 10091 (1998).
- J. Lawrence, P. Shearer, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 7, Q10012 (2006).

Acknowledgments: Supported by the QUEST Initial Training network funded within the European Union Marie Curie Programme, the Agence Nationale de la Recherche BegDy project, the Institut Paul Emil Victor, and European Research Council advanced grant "Whisper" 227507. Continuous data from the POLENET-LAPNET seismic experiment are available at the Réseau Sismologique et Géodésique Français (RESIF) Data Centre (www.resif.fr/portal) under network code XK. The POLENET/LAPNET project is a part of the International Polar Year 2007–2009 and a part of the POLENET consortium, and is supported by the Academy of Finland (grant 122762) and University of Oulu, International Lithosphere Programme task force VIII, grant IAA300120709 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Academy of Sciences, and the Russian Academy of Sciences (Programmes 5 and 9). The equipment for the temporary deployment was provided by RESIF-SisMob (France), EOST-IPG Strasbourg (France), Seismic Pool (MOBNET) of the Geophysical Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences (Czech Republic), Sodankyla Geophysical Observatory (Finland), Institute of Geosphere Dynamics of RAS (Russia), Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zürich (Switzerland), Institute of Geodesy and Geophysics, Vienna University of Technology (Austria), and University of Leeds (UK). POLENET/ LAPNET data were prepared and distributed by the RESIF Data Centre. The POLENET/ LAPNET working group consists of E. Kozlovskaya, T. Jämsen, H. Silvennoinen, R. Hurskainen, H. Pedersen, C. Pequegnat, U. Achauer,

- J. Plomerova, E. Kissling, I. Sanina, R. Bodvarsson, I. Aleshin,
- E. Bourova, E. Brückl, T. Eken, R. Guiguet, H. Hausmann, P. Heikkinen, G. Houseman, P. Jedlicka, H. Johnsen,
- H. Kremenetskava, K. Komminaho, H. Munzarova, R. Roberts, B. Ruzek, H. Shomali, J. Schweitzer, A. Shaumyan, L. Vecsey, and S. Volosov.

Supplementary Materials

10.1126/science.1228194

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/338/6110/1063/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S3 Table S1 Reference (*37*) 31 July 2012; accepted 12 October 2012

Flows of Research Manuscripts Among Scientific Journals Reveal Hidden Submission Patterns

V. Calcagno,^{1,2,3}*[†] E. Demoinet,² K. Gollner,³ L. Guidi,⁴ D. Ruths,⁵ C. de Mazancourt³

The study of science-making is a growing discipline that builds largely on online publication and citation databases, while prepublication processes remain hidden. Here, we report on results from a large-scale survey of the submission process, covering 923 scientific journals from the biological sciences in years 2006 to 2008. Manuscript flows among journals revealed a modular submission network, with high-impact journals preferentially attracting submissions. However, about 75% of published articles were submitted first to the journal that would publish them, and high-impact journals published proportionally more articles that had been resubmitted from another journal. Submission history affected post-publication impact: Resubmissions from other journals received significantly more citations than first-intent submissions, and resubmissions between different journal communities received significantly fewer citations.

which the rise of Web technologies and online databases, knowledge is increasingly available regarding the process of science-making itself (1). Gathering such "metaknowledge" presents the opportunity to better understand, and optimize, the practice of research