

2DH Quantification of Subtidal Bathymetry



Stefan Aarninkhof, Dano Roelvink, Kathelijne Wijnberg and Ad Reniers NCK Days Yerseke, March 18-19, 2004

wL delft hydraulics

Overview of this talk

- Quantification of subtidal bathymetry
- Integration of Argus and Delft3D
- Pilot applications
 - Rip current system at Monterrey (CA), USA
 - Nourished beach at Egmond (NL)



Quantification of surfzone bathymetry (SH'98)



wL delft hydraulics

Collection of pixel Intensity time series at Nearshore Canyon Exp. San Diego (CA), USA (Figure courtesy of OSU)

Approach (Option 1)

Stockdon and Holman (1998) quantify local water depth from video-derived estimates of phase velocity

Quantification of surfzone bathymetry (ARRK'03)

Argus video

wL delft hydraulics



Wave model



Time-averaged video observations vs. model simulations of breaking waves at Palm Beach, Australia (after: Reniers et al., 2001)

Approach (Option 2)

We aim to update subtidal beach bathymetry through assimilation of video-observed and model-predicted patterns of wave dissipaton

Application: beach/shoreface nourishment

• Two arrays spacing 1400 m (Egmond)

wL delft hydraulics

• Use of 700 - 1000 images over period Sept. 1999 - Sept. 2000

Model performance

- Accuracy: 10 to 20 cm at seaward bar face, 20 to 40 cm near bar crest and up to 80 cm in trough region
- Lack of RS info in trough regions
- Uniform beaches (no rips!)
- Single camera only



Subtidal Beach Mapper (1D)



Thus: Subtidal Beach Mapper (2DH)

Innovative aspects

- Interpretation of plan view merges
- Integration of Argus and Delft3D (implementation of assimilation code)

Pilot Applications

- Model test synthetic data (not presented here)
- RIPEX experiment Monterey Bay (CA), USA
- Shoreface nourishment Egmond (NL)





Plan-view merge (standard image)

Removal cross-cam ntensity gradients

Image interpretation Two-step approach

Corrected intensity map after scaling with incoming energy flux)



Implementation of assimilation code



RIPEX application

RIPEX experiment Monterey Bay (2001)

989182800.Sun.May.06 21 00 00.GMT.2001.ripex.cx.plantimex.jpg 300 250 200 alongshore distance (m) 150 100 50 0 -50 -100 50 200 150 250 0 100 cross-shore distance (m)

Single camera Single image

- Figure courtesy of Nathaniel Plant
- Details on experiment: Reniers et al., ICCE 2002



150

100

50

150

100

300

200

0

300

250

200

150

50

0

-50

Ê 100 ≻ O

100

X (m) Zb obs

 $\widehat{\underline{\underline{E}}}_{\succ}_{100}$

X (m)

Zb comp.

200

200

100

X (m)

0

300

300

-1

-2

-3

-1

-2

-3

wL | delft hydraulics

X (m)

300

200

0

300

250

200

Ê 100 ⊱ 100

50

0

-50

0

 $\stackrel{\widehat{E}}{\succ}_{100}$

Diss. comp.

200

200

100

X (m)

0

300

100

X (m)

Diss. obs.

300

Initial bathymetry + computed dissipation

RIPEX application

Computed bathymetry after assimilation

Measured bathymetry + observed dissipation

Shoreface nourishment Egmond (NL)

WL delft hydraulics

Egmond application



Potential of SBM-2DH (1): Better resolution in time

Egmond application



wL delft hydraulics



0

2

- Initial bath. Sept. 1999
- Need bath. May 2000
 - Scenario A: Assume Sept.99 survey = best estimate
 - Scenario B: Use Argus to improve May 2000 estimate
- Scenario B considerably better



Potential of SBM-2DH (2): Rip Currents



Real-time monitoring of coastal processes

The Argus video technique

wL delft hydraulics



Plan view image

Cross-shore (600 m)



Delft3D Morphological Model

DMI Argus-Delft3D





In summary

- Video interpretation model yields smooth maps, though far-field dissipation rates underestimated
- Bathymetry assessment methodology successfully embedded in Delft3D environment
- Pilot applications with non-calibrated model demonstrate potential of technique
- DMI applications (real-time monitoring) subject to future research



Egmond, 17/05/2001